

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 25, NO. 3

FEBRUARY 2000



THE LATE EVITA PERON

Mother of Her People

The Safety Valve

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

□ Lebanese-born billionaire banker/financier Edmond Safra recently died of suffocation after fire swept his penthouse in Monaco. Financial and political leaders in Israel expressed sorrow at his death. Safra was president and managing director of the Republic National Bank of New York, which was embroiled in money laundering scandals allegedly involving International Monetary Fund aid to Russia. One of Safra's major "philanthropic" projects was the Simon Wiesenthal Center in Israel.

200

□ Somali vendors sell cheap "Rolexes" on New York City streets. They've got a monopoly on the business. They can't be arrested. That would be racial profiling.

203

□ The Ray Bradbury story, *Harrison Bergeron*, about a future of enforced equality is on a par with *The Camp of the Saints* in its foresight. The 1995 film version is worth seeing. It's surprising that the genius protagonist wasn't played by a black.

155

□ The unnatural and malodorous alliance between the U.S. and Israel recently gave the world another lesson in deal-making. Despite opposition of all members of the UN, Israel, with the unwavering support of its sole loyal ally, the U.S., has successfully insisted that the blockade of Iraq be continued. The U.S. has also insisted—with not much success—

that the sanctions against Cuba be kept in place. Both policies are obviously wrong-minded and obsolete. For the world's greatest power to be wedded to a dwarf state that can only survive through international panhandling, money-laundering and transnational shady dealings is truly a grotesque *mésalliance*. A divorce is long overdue.

930

□ Majority members are expected to embrace the multicultural desecration of their country or be labeled racists. At the rate of our current dispossession, Majorities may soon realize that they are curiosities in their own homeland.

347

□ The protagonist of the 1997 film, *Telling Lies in America*, is a Greek youth applying for U.S. citizenship. Set in the 50s, his boss is Kevin Bacon and his love interest is pre-anorexic Calista Flockhart. To protect his venal boss the youth lies to a grand jury about payoffs, jeopardizing his naturalization hearing. The judge gives him a "special test." "Was George Washington also a liar?" The correct reply is yes, so we now have a new citizen.

422

□ It's ironic that Harvard Professor Henry Gates Jr.'s absurd claim that medieval books in Arabic found in Timbuktu proves blacks are smart after all demonstrates just the opposite.

110

□ Whichever media apologist said that the media are the mirror of society got it wrong. In reality it is the other way round. Society is a reflection of the media. TV, cinema, radio, newspapers and magazines can help build a better more worthwhile nation and society. Or it can let Jewish financial interests hold sway over the media and drag the Western world down into a bottomless mire of vulgarity, immorality, corruption and barbarism. A prime instance of how the corrupting influence of the Jewish film industry can waylay even one of our own is the film depiction of a cheap young prostitute played by the "actress" Jodie Foster in the film, *Taxi Driver*. The movie intoxicated and diverted John Hinckley and led him down the path to ruination. No doubt Jews and their allies were very pleased with themselves at the destruction of this young man who had previously had such a bright future. Well they

destroyed him, but it is up to every one of us to make certain that they have no such successes ever again.

English subscriber

□ Seen the TV ad for Alta Vista.com featuring the one vs. 50 chess match? With a brief glance the Karpov look-alike disposes of all his white opponents. But for one match he has to draw up a chair and carefully study the situation, looking worried. His opponent? A chubby black boy.

422

□ In the future our women will need to be more like those of Spartan Greece than of Victorian England. Scholastic and club sports are excellent activities for girls and young women. More and more children and adolescents in America are overweight and out of shape. Young people of both sexes need more vigorous activity. Although sports participation is no guarantee of a wholesome lifestyle, female athletes are less likely to spend time hanging out at the mall or in front of the tube watching MTV. They are less likely to drink, smoke or do drugs. They are more likely to know the value of teamwork and fair play.

553

□ Regarding the tragedy of the Texas Aggie Bonfire. All 12 young people appear to have been bona fide Majority members. But in this day and age there is always a minority connection somewhere. In this case it was San Antonian Bryan

CONTENTS

Juan and Evita Peron.	4
Hollywood's Dixie.	5
Is Alaska Next?.	7
Getting Physical.	10
Mendacious Exhibit.	12
Hitler Should Be Put In Context.	13
Of Taxis and Tyrants.	14
Backtalk.	16
Cultural Catacombs.	18
Inklings.	19
Primate Watch.	20
Talking Numbers.	21
Satcom Sam.	22
Waspishly Yours.	23
Honest Jew Looks at "The Big H" 24	
Notes from the Sceptred Isle.	25
Elsewhere.	26
Fourth AR Conference.	28

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©2000 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

The Safety Valve

Allan McClain. A blond Anglo-Saxon, his mother is remarried to a Mexican. Bryan himself was engaged to a Latina. This is not surprising, since the high school that Bryan attended sits in an area which was once 100% Anglo, but today is about 25% Hispanic and black. Looking through the high school yearbook is like looking at a cross-section of Brooklyn. Poor Bryan never had the chance to be with his own race and the unremitting attack upon his people by aliens in government, media, entertainment industry and academia brainwashed the poor kid so badly he didn't even identify with his own kind. Think of the countless Bryan Allan McClains in this country. I keep asking myself how much more of this can Majority America stand?

782

□ Jews are the People of the Superlatives as far as tooting their own ram's horn. We set ourselves up for this kind of mind-bending when we allowed much of our government, media, academia, entertainment industry and Wall Street to fall into alien hands.

782

□ *Law and Order* (Dec. 1) dealt with Holocaust survivors. One testified that in WWII "Poles didn't need any encouragement to hate the Jews."

882

□ The Panama Canal is vital to our security. Panamanian sovereignty could have included security guarantees for the U.S. Clinton is "happy China will run the Panama Canal. They'll do a good job." I'd hate to know what our enemies are thinking about our indifference to our own security.

911

□ The N.Y. Times says a Syrian-Israeli "peace" will cost us from \$15 to \$45 billion, on top of the \$100 billion or so already spent for "peace" with Egypt. "No one has suffered as we have," claim the Chosen. Could be, but when it comes to compensation for suffering, no one else is even close.

811

□ Ted Koppel on *Nightline* (Dec. 18) asked Gore and Bradley why among the 60 winners of a national science talent search 3 were East Indians, 16 Asian Americans and the rest whites. No blacks or Hispanics. The candidates said it was

because we whites had failed those two latter groups and not given them ample opportunities. I wonder how those other groups got all those opportunities?

845

□ Perpetual motion, squaring the circle—said to be impossible. But I bet both will be achieved before valid academic tests are devised that a majority of blacks won't flunk.

220

□ Polygamy is part of the religion of one billion Muslims. This number greatly exceeds the number of homosexuals in the world. If it's normal to be gay, why should we consider polygamy abnormal?

710

□ My guess is that the New World Order took over the U.S. when President Johnson ignored the attack on the *USS Liberty*.

130

□ I thought things might change after the 1980 elections. Fool me once. I thought things would change after the 1994 elections. Fool me twice. Though obviously a slow learner, I'll know better about the 2000 election. To paraphrase the rock group, The Who, "I won't be fooled again." Most likely the Democrats will take the House and we will see such luminaries as Maxine Waters as chair-Negress of the Domestic and International Monetary Policy.

220

□ I thought I'd heard it all. But the Justice Dept. has filed a lawsuit that says Law School Admissions Tests required by 196 law schools are unfair to the physically disabled because they are denied extra time to take them. If your brain is disabled, there's no reason to take the test at all. If it isn't, then why would you need extra time?

511

□ Six-year old Elian Gonzales is being held as a political hostage by the anti-Castro Cuban community in South Florida. He obviously belongs back with his father. Can you imagine what would happen if say Syria or Libya was holding a little Jewish boy and refusing to return him to papa?

110

□ At the height of his popularity there was a presidential boomlet for "the most

trusted man in America," Walter Cronkite. It's surprising it hasn't happened again. The Ted Koppels and Dan Rather comport themselves as though they could easily govern not only America but the world. But things could be worse. Bryant Gumbel could run for president.

046

□ Blacks don't design computers and don't use them much. But judging from computer ads, without their guidance and input nobody would know how to operate them.

119

□ I cannot abide Peter Lorden. Diversity and a wide tent cannot cover a Trojan donkey.

328

□ There have been many reviews of *The Big Test*, which examines SAT scores. A typical comment: "Black kids just don't do well on the SAT. No one quite knows why." Correction: Everyone knows why, but no one dares say it.

644

□ Senator Orrin Hatch averred, "Jerusalem should be the capital of Utah." He quickly noted that he meant to say "Israel," commenting that it just shows how close we are to Israel. It's a wonder he didn't say, "United States."

822

□ I'm a political junkie, a news-watcher around the clock. The typical American is just the opposite. Half don't even know who Gore is. I've heard Clinton say Castro has the same goals we do. Clinton says it's just fine that we gave up the Panama Canal. I bet if Clinton went on TV and canceled the elections, most people wouldn't care.

848

□ In the Cabrini-Green Housing Project in Chicago blacks are shooting each other up. HUD Secretary Cuomo demands action. But by whom? The blacks? Don't be ridiculous. The gun manufacturers! Well, he does have a point. Blacks can't be trusted with guns.

240

□ I always felt Y2K was the biggest media hype in history. It would only feed the paranoia of the conspiratologists. In reality all it accomplished was to make December 31 the most profitable retail sales day of 1999.

330

Juan and Evita Peron

The continued animosity of the Anglo-American Establishment directed against the persons of Juan Domingo Peron and his wife, Eva Duarte de Peron "Evita," would be incomprehensible without understanding the history of British imperialism in The Argentine and the sociopolitical revolutions of the first half of the 20th century.

The underlying cause of the continuing UK/U.S. hostility toward Argentina stems from the the Perons' success in freeing the country, albeit temporarily, from its traditional economic dependence on foreign markets and capital, initially British but later America. British and U.S. companies eventually held a virtual monopoly over the Argentine meat-packing, railroad, electric power, pharmaceutical and other industries. Meat-packing was the pivot around which the entire Argentine economy revolved, the roast beef-addicted English being the prime market. In 1933 the controversial Roca-Runciman Treaty seemed to seal the special Argentine-British relationship. It would also have kept Argentina in a quasi-colonial status as agricultural supplier to Britain.

Several unforeseen events upset this special relationship. First, the onset of WWII cut Argentina off from its traditional markets and investment sources and forced the country to become more self-sufficient by developing its own industrial and financial base. With modernization and industrialization, labor and the labor-unions grew ever more powerful. The long-reigning Argentine oligarchy, with whom the British had always dealt, began to lose its privileged position. (During the Raj the British upper class did business very comfortably with the Indian upper caste. In contemporary Russia the U.S. deals almost exclusively with the oligarchs.)

In 1943 a military coup overthrew the corrupt Castillo government. A young charismatic colonel, Juan Peron, assumed control of the Ministry of Labor and Welfare of the economically foundering nation. With the indispensable assistance of a fellow colonel, Domingo Alfredo Mercante, who assumed control of the vital Buenos Aires Province, Peron's organizational and leadership qualities won him the support of the working class which became his main political base.

The bulk of the population in Argentina is of Italian and Spanish extraction. It was quite natural in the 1930s

Great Depression, when American and British capitalism was on the rocks, that the military and the common people in Argentina turned to Mussolini's Fascist Italy and National Socialist Germany as models. Moreover, like Italy, Argentina was a Catholic country with mores and a spirit quite different from that of "perfidious Albion."

As Peron's power increased (he became Vice President and Minister of War in 1940) the oligarchs and others whose status was now being threatened staged a coup in early October 1945 that ousted Peron from the govern-

ment. However, the insurgents miscalculated badly and within a few days Peron's followers were able to regroup and fight back. Under the leadership of the labor leaders in Buenos Aires and Peron's loyal friend, Colonel Mercante, whom Evita Peron was later to call "the heart of Peron," massive street demonstrations were staged, forcing the insurgents to release Peron on October 17, 1945, which date soon became a major Peronist holiday.

With WWII concluded and Britain an economic basket case, Peron pushed ahead with his domestic industrialization program, including nationalizing foreign-owned busi-

nesses. Joined and reinforced in this major restructuring of the Argentine economy was Evita whom he married shortly after his release from prison. A woman fiercely dedicated to her husband and his program, Evita proved a tremendous asset to Peron who, by 1946, had become President of Argentina.

Peron himself was referred to as the leader and standard bearer of the *descamisados* (the shirtless ones, the workers). Peron's political doctrine was *Justicialismo* (Social Justice) and The Third Position which was opposed to the oligarchs, Communists and imperialists.

Eva Peron, who had a successful career in radio, movies and theater before her marriage to Peron, soon won the affection of the Argentine people. Eva was an extremely effective public speaker, arguing emotionally and dramatically on behalf of Peron's policies. Evita was arguably the most important feminist leader in the 20th century, certainly in Latin America. She almost single-handedly took over all welfare in Argentina, opening hospitals, schools, housing projects, orphanages, libraries, homes for the elderly, shelters for the indigent and social security programs—all under the auspices of her Social Aid



Evita speaking to her people

Foundation. In doing so she in effect replaced charity with a government aid program. Equally important and long-lasting was her support of women's rights and her championship of the law that gave women the right to vote.

To have accomplished so much in a patriarchal society attests to Eva's unusual appeal and tact. In her speeches she always presented herself modestly as Peron's "bridge to the people," never ceasing to defer to and praise her husband, *El Presidente*. For his part Peron could only be most thankful for his wife's loyalty and support.

Eva's activities further incurred the wrath of the oligarchs, especially the wealthy Ladies of Beneficence, who had traditionally managed charitable operations in Argentina.

Juan and Evita were a perfect team: He, the strong, macho military leader fighting against communism and imperialism for an independent Argentina; she, childless, frail in appearance, in failing health, as the wife and main supporter of her revered husband. In Catholic Argentina, particularly after her early death, she literally became the Blessed Mother of the people. On her demise in 1952, the government announced: "It is our sad duty to inform the people of the Republic that Eva Peron, the Spiritual Leader of the Nation, died at 8:25 p.m."

Peron's fortunes began to decline following his wife's death. Europe recovered from WWII and its industries were again working overtime supplying South American countries. The U.S. was now not only helping the British reestablish their pre-Peron privileges but also intervening itself in Argentine affairs. (The total diplomatic and logistical support the U.S. gave Britain during the Falkland Is-

lands war in 1982 demonstrated clearly the commonality of U.S./UK policy vis-à-vis Argentina, whose claims to the islands are at least as valid as Britain's.) Peron's hopes to establish home industries floundered. Economic distress was soon followed by political action against Peronism.

In 1955 Peron was ousted in a military coup. The new regime, backed by the old oligarchy and other enemies of the Perons, undertook to dismantle as many of Evita's innovations and institutions (shelters, schools, hospitals) as it could, especially those bearing her name. Even her body was disinterred and transported out of the country. Peron himself went into exile in Spain.

To discredit Peronism, a campaign of calumny and slander concerning the private lives and character of both Juan and Evita was started and continues to this day. He was viciously accused of living with teenage girls and of being a Nazi sympathizer. Evita was maliciously denounced as a common prostitute who stole money from the Eva Duarte Foundation.

The campaign of hate and vilification against the Perons failed completely in Argentina and most of the Latin world, though the allegations continue to titillate British and American scandalmongers.

Juan Peron was returned to power in 1974 and Evita's body was finally laid to rest in her native land. The Peronist Party continues to exist but, without an effective leader, it is very fragmented. While Evita never quite became Santa Evita, she is nonetheless fondly remembered by many in present-day Argentina.

200

Everything I know about the South I learned from the Silver Screen

Hollywood's Dixie

I have never traveled throughout the American South and yet I feel I know it as well as my own home turf. I know its people, how they think, their attitudes, morals, lifestyle and their outlook on the world. In fact, I would go so far as to say that there is no group of Americans with which I am as well acquainted as the denizens of Dixie.

Over the years, a clear and distinct image of the Southerner has been formed in my mind. Even though I have never met these people, I feel that I am well acquainted with all of them. What do I know? Well for instance, even though the South contains some large cities most Southerners live in small towns where the middle class is almost nonexistent. If you're a poor dirt farmer, you walk around in bib overalls and a straw hat. If you've got money, you wear a white suit and smoke fat cigars. Southern women come in two types—the old ones are nosey, gossiping

snoops. The young ones are sluttish, oversexed teases. Both speak with irritating whiny drawls.

Wealthy old Southern men are powerful, greedy and ruthless and are usually called "Daddy" or "Colonel." Their sons are young hellraisers who drive fast cars and regularly get into trouble as they break all the rules trying to impress some young girl. The sons of poor farmers simply drink too much and wind up in jail.

Southern towns are run by pols who are grasping and corrupt and are usually the same types who operate the feed store, the used car lot and the farm implement dealership. The law, such as it is in the Deep South, is dispensed by a pot-bellied, tobacco chewing sheriff, a sadistic evil brute who is probably the living descendant of some whip-wielding plantation overseer. No one ever talks about it but most townsfolk suspect that the sheriff and the gang of low-lifes who hang around the local pool hall all

day are actually members of the Klan. Running afoul of the law anywhere in this region, even for a minor infraction, might very well get you sentenced to a year on the chain gang where you'd be at the mercy of a demented prison warden and his cruel guards. Their idea of fun is to chain a black man and white man together to see if they'll tear each other apart. Talking back to the bossman will get you a flogging.

For black people life in the South is an even grimmer proposition. Old black women are very religious and look forward to getting dressed up for church on Sunday. They adore children and love to take care of them, even white children. Old black men spend most of their days whit-tling pieces of wood or trying to catch catfish on the riverbank. Life for a young black male, however, is a daily encounter with danger. For simply smiling at a white woman, he can be arrested, beaten up by that pot-bellied sheriff and thrown into the hoosegow. From there he will be hauled up before a judge and charged with rape. Regardless of his innocence, an all-white jury will convict him. Before he can be taken away to the county prison, an unruly mob or the Klansmen will break into the jailhouse, drag him out and lynch him.

A young black woman, if she is attractive, the threat of a sexual assault from a white man or group of white men is ever present. Even the most prejudiced of white males is not averse to "a bit of the dark stuff," if he is given the opportunity. Such is the image I have of every town in the South. White men are all corrupt, drunk and evil, and their womenfolk are simple-minded tramps or busybodies. Blacks, on the other hand, are noble, courageous and long-suffering.

Where did I get all these ideas, you ask? From the movies, of course! Starting with *I Am A Fugitive From A Chain Gang* and on through *A Streetcar Named Desire*, *Cat On A Hot Tin Roof*, *The Defiant Ones*, *In The Heat Of The Night*, *To Kill A Mockingbird* and *Cool Hand Luke* right up to *Deliverance* and *Mississippi Burning*, Hollywood has carefully molded the pattern for all Southern characters which still persists to this day. Through film after film we have been led to believe that Dixie is a land dominated by degenerate, illiterate, dishonest cretins that most of us would shun on sight.

Tinseltown also has nothing but contempt for the Southerner's mountain-dwelling cousin, that retarded, inbred subhuman known as the hillbilly. Earlier films depicted him as a toothless, gun-toting clown always feuding with some other mountain family. More recent films have shown his darker, sexual predatory side. The one admirable member of the hilltop dwellers seems to be the young moonshine runner who turns out to be a pretty good stock-car driver as he careens around mountain ledges in his souped-up Chevy with the revenooers in hot pursuit.

Why after all these years does Hollywood still give us nothing but distorted images of those who live below the

Mason-Dixon line? Probably because screenwriters are running short of villains and every good story needs a bad guy. In this politically correct, racially sensitive age, they cannot show blacks, Hispanics, native Indians or Orientals in a bad light.

Immigrant groups, such as the Italians, Greeks, Irish and Poles, have enough clout to make sure they are not cinematically defamed. God forbid we should ever see a Jewish villain in our lifetime! If he's making a WWII movie, any producer can get lots of mileage out of those hideous Nazis, but if the film is set in the good old U.S.A., who can he safely cast as the baddies? Southerners, naturally. Those sweaty, trashy Dixie whites are stereotypes

that we have all grown up with and which few people question to this day. Sambo, Rastus and Uncle Remus are gone forever but that nasty, grinning redneck and his hot-to-trot daughter are still with us and still going strong.

A film set in Boston, New York or Los Angeles shows us people that we can all relate to. But any film set in Mississippi or Alabama will be filled with characters that seem to be from another world. The slow-witted, slow-talking inhabitants of this hot and steamy backwater of civilization simply do not strike us as



Marlon Brando as Stanley Kowalski
in *A Streetcar Named Desire*

normal people. So we are prepared to believe them capable of any wickedness. For those who would argue the point, simply ask yourselves: Is there any other region or any other population group in the U.S. that has been so constantly ridiculed, maligned, pilloried and dehumanized by generations of filmmakers as the inhabitants of the American South?

One of my favorite contributors to this magazine, N. B. Forrest, writes about the South with great pride and often mentions gallant Southern gentlemen, well-bred genteel Southern ladies and the courageous soldiers of the Confederacy. I cannot believe that the descendants of those people have all disappeared. Yet when do we ever see normal Southerners portrayed in the movies? I can think of only a very few examples. If you would like to see some decent and uplifting sons and daughters of Dixie on your home TV screen, let me suggest that you rent the video version of *Gone With The Wind* and watch only the first hour. This film may have been made by a man named Selznick, but the images he provided us of this gallant race of people was one of the finest portrayals of Southern nobility ever shown to the world. Until someone forms a Southern Anti-Defamation League, it will probably be the last honest film Hollywood ever gives us.

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER

Now that the Panama Canal is gone

Is Alaska Next?

Over the years, both Colombia, which had doubtful claim to the narrow Isthmus of Panama, and the Province of Panama, which finally obtained its long-sought independence as a nation, fought to change the U.S.-favored route across Nicaragua. Finally, under the stewardship of Philippe Bunau-Varilla, an erstwhile engineer holdover from the defunct de Lessups venture to build a canal across the Isthmus, they succeeded. The U.S. Congress under the administration of Teddy Roosevelt switched their sights from Nicaragua to the Isthmus of Panama. The purchase of the ten-mile-wide strip known as the Panama Canal Zone was finalized by the Hay Bunau-Varilla Treaty of 1903. We bought and over-paid for it, just as we did Alaska. The Panama Canal Zone is U.S. territory which belongs to us, just as does Alaska.

But the signing of the Treaty did not end it. With the persistence of termites, Colombia and Panama aided by other Latin-American nations from Mexico to Tierra del Fuego continue to use the "terrible injustices" this treaty forced upon them to extort benefits from the "Colossus of the North." Even before the ink had dried on the Treaty, Colombia was after money and Panama wanted more!

Largely because of poorly veiled threats against Americans and American business interests in Panama and Colombia, a series of three treaties was signed simultaneously in Washington on January 9, 1909, while construction of the canal was well underway. None of these three treaties was to become effective unless all three were ratified. (1) The U.S. agreed to commence annual payments of \$250,000 to Panama in 1908 instead of 1913; (2) Panama agreed to transfer the first ten of these payments to Colombia as its contribution to the Colombia national debt before secession; (3) Colombia recognized the Republic of Panama. The U.S. and Panama ratified their treaties quickly. Colombia, as usual, was a stumbling block. There were riots and threats of assassination against various Colombi-

an government figures. Martial law was declared and, after three weeks, Colombia refused to discuss the pacts.

Swallowing all pride, the U.S. continued its futile quest of Colombian cooperation. On April 6, 1914, shortly before the opening of the completed canal, the new Democratic administration of the questionably competent, bought-and-paid-for President Woodrow Wilson signed the disgraceful Thomson-Urrutia convention with Colombia. In abject, dishonorable and unjustified apology to a contemptuous Colombia, Wilson, in the name of the U.S. offered \$25,000,000! Colombia, in a reversal of its previous stand, with its greedy little black eyes aglitter, ratified

almost immediately. But this time, the U.S. Congress balked at what Theodore Roosevelt called the "black-mail treaty—a crime against the U.S.—an attack upon the honor of the U.S.—the payment can only be justified upon the ground that this nation has played the part of a thief, or a receiver of stolen goods."

Year after year, the U.S. Senate had the integrity to refuse to ratify this scurrilous, totally misguided dishonorable document. Finally, two years after the death of Theodore Roosevelt, perhaps the last president who truly understood and fought for the honor and integrity of his nation, this infamous treaty was ratified in April, 1921. With

the payment of \$25,000,000 to Colombia the U.S. which already had paid the Republic of Panama \$10,000,000 as well as having shelled out to each individual Panamanian for properties he claimed to have owned in the Canal Zone, the U.S. had paid more than three times the value of all real property in the entire Republic of Panama!

This began the decades of "appeasement" to the insatiable demands of Latin America and other Third World areas. This bent-over stance has earned us their absolute—and well-deserved—contempt. As the demographic billions generated by an incredibly uncontrollable reproductive system swarm unhampered across our national borders, our own nation sinks increasingly into the status the prolific hybrid populations had created and sought to es-



Mt. Bertha in the icy Bay District of Alaska

cape. While they continue to rob us and to smile and fawn in our view, they have learned to despise our naive weakness.

Theodore Roosevelt knew the Hispanic Americans. He despised them. Perhaps because of this they loved and respected him! When, after the proclamation of the Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty, he visited Panama, they adulated him. They gave him their first flag! As Congressman Daniel Flood and others have stated so well, perpetual appeasement only promotes further greed and contempt in people of this caliber.

How we have changed! The Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty came under attack even before it was proclaimed. U.S. sovereignty over the Canal Zone has been questioned and attacked by people who want to diminish or deny it. Words that do not even appear in the treaty such as "titular," "residuary" and "reversionary" were bandied about as if they were part of the document. Words such as "rent" and "lease," which also do not appear in the treaty and are not even implied, continued to be used.

History has been deliberately falsified. Textbooks used in U.S. high schools have blatantly claimed that the U.S. merely leased the Canal Zone from Panama as only one among myriad methods used to "dumb down" the American populace in order that we may more easily be fleeced.


This process reached its culmination when that sick little psychotic now cavorting about the world in a hallucinatory schizophrenic haze "gave back" to Panama a canal Panamanians could never have built and never have operated! Years ago in patient anticipation, Japan and China—and at that time, Russia—looked forward to the day when the U.S. finally pulled out so that one of them would be able to take over. Now, China, the avowed enemy of the U.S. has control of access to the Panama Canal from both the west and the east!

There is nothing hazy about the Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty. It is crystal clear. The Canal Zone was granted or ceded to the U.S. forever. It is just as much a part of the U.S. as Alaska or the Louisiana Purchase.

Philippe Bunau-Varilla was not a fly-by-night Frenchman who hastened to the Isthmus in order to befuddle and hoodwink the "poor little Isthmians" when he smelled \$40,000,000. While money undoubtedly was part of his motive, he was a Gallic patriot. He had to live to see a canal built in Panama to vindicate the French for claiming that it was at least possible and for leading the way. He was more than just an engineer thoroughly acquainted with the local geographic, political, sociological and demographic conditions of the Isthmus and of Colombia. He was a brilliant and foresightful planner and negotiator. He knew the local conditions that, alone, would

have defeated the de Lesseps venture. And he knew what must be required of any political agreement if there was to be any hope that anyone could build and maintain a canal through "the pesthole of the world."

As an example of Bunau-Varilla's foresight, before 1900 he realized that the Japanese had designs upon the Hawaiian Islands after which they would look toward



control of the Isthmus of Panama! He notes this as his primary reason for assuring the U.S. its right, under the treaty, to fortify the canal using the right of eminent domain to acquire defense sites within the Republic of Panama. In his own words, "sovereignty was granted en bloc." The circumstances that mandated this have not changed one iota.

Article II of the Isthmian Canal Convention of 1903 states: "The Republic of Panama grants to the U.S." The translation in Spanish of the same document uses the verb "ceder" (to cede, to grant, to transfer). According to the dictionary "to grant" means "to give the possession or title of, especially by a deed or formal writing." "Cede" means "to yield, to grant, to assign, to transfer." Neither in English nor in Spanish can there be any question of this meaning. Nowhere does the word "cede" or "grant" appear to carry the meaning "to lease" or "to rent."

According to Article II this "grant" or "cession" is made "in perpetuity." That means forever. No conditions are stated or implied. This strip of land and its waters became a constitutionally acquired and paid for part of the U.S. forever. To date it has cost us many, many times what we paid for virtually the entire Midwest in the Louisiana Purchase or all of Alaska for which we paid only \$7,000,000.

Article II grants, in addition, what amounts to the right of eminent domain over any other lands and waters remaining under of the jurisdiction of the Republic of Panama for any purpose necessary or convenient for canal purposes. This provision was included primarily for the defense and health of the ceded zone proper. Article II could well stand alone as the entire treaty.

Article III, over which so much confusion seems to have arisen, really is quite straightforward. It spells out in unequivocal form what already has been stated and agreed upon in Article II. There is nothing ambiguous about it. The key words in Article III which seem to have

been ignored and which, in being ignored, have led to some confusion and the use of weasel-words like "titular," "residuary," and "reversionary" as applied to sovereignty, "within which." These two words definitely delineate and separate the territory over which the U.S. may act as sovereign.

To reiterate, Article III says that, while the Canal Zone has been duly ceded to the full sovereignty of the U.S., the U.S. possesses, in addition, the right to act in any matter pertaining to the necessities or conveniences of the canal, as if it were sovereign of the Republic of Panama. It specifically limits this "extraterritorial" sovereignty of the U.S. over the Republic of Panama to that which may be "necessary and convenient for the construction, maintenance, operation, sanitation and protection of the said canal." However, it specifically forbids the Republic of Panama to act within the Republic of Panama, and outside of the Canal Zone, contrary to what the U.S. may deem as "necessary and convenient for the construction, maintenance, operation, sanitation and protection" of the canal. History up to this moment demonstrates the wisdom and sheer necessity of these provisions. They should not have been altered. They should have been enforced to the letter.

Article III thus makes the right of eminent domain in canal matters only more inclusive and more binding. Not only does this reflect the semantics of the words in Articles II and III, but it is the only interpretation consistent with the political history running through all the thinking from 1786 to 1903 of canal projects. Contrary to the hue and cry in some quarters that followed and persisted after its ratification, the conditions of the Isthmian Convention of 1903 did not give the U.S. more than had been expected, only what had been insisted upon for 50 years as a minimal prime requisite to the construction of any canal anywhere in Latin America. And the Panamanians were overjoyed at its terms until they learned the effectiveness of whining over *la dignidad*—play upon the innate compassion of the American citizen in order to extort ever more from their benefactors. In this they were aided by a perfidious group of residents of the U.S., corrupted politicians and the controlled media, all intent upon the nation's destruction.

The remaining articles of the treaty merely spell out, often reiterating, this same theme: absolute sovereignty over the Canal Zone; the right of eminent domain in the Republic of Panama in any matter pertaining to the necessities and conveniences of the canal.

America constructed and completed the Panama Canal. It was a magnificent task completed as a magnificent monument to this nation as it once was. It must not be allowed to become merely a filthy, crumbling ditch across the "pesthole of the world"—or perhaps worse, through our default, fall under the ownership and management of some potentially hostile foreign power.

It must never be forgotten that this is a testimony to the American Majority which was able to create, not just a

canal through a strip of land ten miles wide, but what was the only truly civilized area in Latin America! It must be noted that subsequent revisions of the Hay-Bunau-Varilla Treaty by ignorant or corrupted officials of the U.S. government bent upon a "policy of appeasement" have destroyed, not just our magnificent Panama Canal, but America's honor and integrity. In return we are hated and despised by those populations.

But, it is not all over. The Carter-Torrijos Treaty of 1977 ratified by the U.S. Senate on April 18, 1978 purports to grant to the U.S., "the rights necessary to regulate the transit of ships, and to manage, operate, maintain, improve, protect and defend the canal" until noon, December 31, 1999. It calls for the "permanent neutrality and operation of the Panama Canal" and that nothing in the treaty shall preclude the Republic of Panama and the U.S. of making after December 31, 1999 any agreement to facilitate canal performance, to maintain neutrality, maintenance of defense sites or stationing of U.S. military forces in defense of the neutrality of the canal.

However, the treaty itself would appear to be fatally flawed:

(1) *For the Republic of Panama, the treaty was not signed by the de jure President but by Omar Torrijos Herrera, a petty caudillo who had wrested control of the government from its legitimate officer. The illegitimacy of the Torrijos regime is attested by polls of the Panamanian populace which overwhelmingly have favored continuation of the status quo.*

(2) *The Republic of Panama, misrepresented as "territorial sovereign" of the Canal Zone, purports to grant to the U.S. a limitation of its rights to the lands and waters of which it already is sovereign in perpetuity. The lands and waters composed of the Republic of Panama and the Canal Zone as a single sovereignty is a mythical entity. Would the great American Boob awaken if Clinton drew up a treaty with China in which Chinese agreed to allow us a diminishing presence in the state of Washington until we turned it over to their sovereignty in 2020?*

(3) *The treaty completely ignores vital national security interests of the U.S.*

As if that were not enough to render this scurrilous document null and void, Panama already has violated it. By something called "Law No. 5" passed by the Panamanian Legislature on January 16, 1997, Communist China is given through its agent, Hutchison-Whampoa, exclusive concessions which include control of the order of ships using the Pacific entry to the canal and even authority to deny ships access on either side, if they are deemed to be interfering with Hutchison-Whampoa business. This directly violates the treaty guarantee of expeditious passage for the U.S. Navy.

So, all may not be lost. How big a miracle does it take to instill a little courage and a trace of patriotic integrity in enough of our politicians to throw this piece of foreign policy garbage out before it becomes even more odorous?

BRANDER C. KITCHIN, M.D.

Getting Physical

Perhaps the most socially acceptable form of racism is joking about black predominance in athletics. "I don't know much about boxing," quips the standup comic, "but I know one thing: never bet on the white guy." Yuk, yuk. You can say that on national television without worrying that the network switchboard will light up. In November and December when pro basketball and football highlights are rampant on TV newscasts, one might well wonder if being black is a prerequisite for scoring points in these contests. If there's one thing the aspiring black athlete can't complain about, it's a shortage of role models.

When the subject of black athletes comes up in the media, the nurture wing of commentators whines that in a racist society blacks have no other avenues of achievement open to their "talents." They have no choice but to exploit sports (and showbiz) because of institutional racism in other venues. The same has often been said of Jews. Throughout history no one would let them into the respectable professions, so they had to become moneylenders. But now that the doors are wide open in the most elite universities and the most respectable professions, Jews are still the world's preeminent moneylenders. The Negro—who has far more opportunities today than he had a couple of generations ago—still excels in pursuits that require strength and speed.

The perks of the professional athlete are all too obvious to young men of all races—big bucks, babes, first-class hotels and prestige. But the attractiveness of a pro sports career looms larger the lower down one is on the socioeconomic scale. Long before the infusion of blacks into professional sports, white players generally were not drawn from the upper strata of society. They were mostly farm boys, tenement dwellers and middle-class kids who used sports as an income supplement in the days when off-season jobs were the norm. In more recent years the depressed coal mining towns of western Pennsylvania were renowned for turning out a disproportionate number of NFL quarterbacks. A football scholarship was a sure-fire, first class, one-way ticket out of a dead-end existence.

Today much the same is true of the contemporary Latin American baseball player. A disproportionate number of players come from the poorest sectors. The Dominican Republic and Puerto Rico are prime examples. Relatively prosperous countries, such as Venezuela, where they also play baseball, send us fewer players. The reason, of course, is that Dominicans and Puerto Ricans have no other options. The only way to make it big is to get off their respective islands, major league baseball being the route of choice. If they make it big, they can take their multimil-

lions back home to the islands, where *dinero americano* goes a lot farther than in the States. The next time you see a major league roster, note the nationality of the Latin ballplayer and cross-check it with his residence. Chances are he will choose to reside in his Latin homeland rather than become an American citizen. Cuba is the prime exception. Sure, in the U.S., *el jugador latino* will be affluent and highly thought of, but in his homeland he will live like a prince and be revered as a god.


White ethnics in America have always taken pride in their sportsmen. In Ellis Island's heyday the latest wave of immigrants provided the next generation of gladiators. Irish, Italian and even Jewish boxers were staples of prize-fights. But the ships have stopped docking at Ellis Island—aside from the tour boats from lower Manhattan—and the descendants of the people who disembarked there have gone on to other opportunities. But the Negro continues to predominate on the playing fields. Is he that good or is it that he simply has no other role to play in society? How can anyone honestly assert that the Negro's prominence in sports is strictly due to his environment?

We know what happens to anyone who posits the contrary. Those who espouse the nature wing of the argument regarding Negroes and sports are usually chastised big time—à la Al Campanis and Jimmy the Greek. Any admission that there are physical differences between the races could lead to a discussion of emotional or intellectual differences. There would doubtless be rough sledding down that slippery slope.

Actually we shouldn't limit our discussion to the shopworn nature/nurture dichotomy or concern ourselves with whether or not blacks are physically superior to whites. Let's try a different tack. Perhaps the real reason blacks predominate in American professional sports is not because they are a physically superior race but because they are a specialized race.

The next time you watch an NFL contest and see a defensive line of Negroes of near sumo proportions, ask yourself what they would be doing if football had never been invented. There's not much call for field hands these days. The white quarterbacks, punters and kickers could probably find something else to do with their lives. Same for the tight ends. (For reasons I've never understood, tight end is a predominantly white position.) But what good is a black 300-pound man-mountain monolith? Or one who weighs half as much and can sprint like a cheetah? In modern civilization, what do these physical attributes qualify them for aside from athletics? If boxing had never been invented, could Mike Tyson have attained one percent of the esteem he holds today by pursuing any other

career open to him? True, John Henry was a steel-driving man—but he didn't become a household name till they wrote a song about him. Anyway they drive steel with machines these days.



What other career could Mike Tyson have pursued?

In general the white race, concomitant with its greater genetic variety, has a wider variety of aptitudes than the black or yellow races. I think this is why blacks, Asians and other nonwhites, no matter how much they complain about racism, can usually find a home of sorts in white civilizations, while the converse is never true, aside from the occasional white man who goes native. There are no white minorities in Asia and Black Africa who find homes in the dominant culture. They may take up residence there for any number of reasons, but they will never be at home there. They can dominate, organize or colonize (as they did in Hong Kong, India and South Africa), but they can never travel down the narrow paths trod by other races and find a fulfilling existence.

For white people athleticism is just one trait among many and hardly the most important. Unfortunately versatility, while it may create and maintain civilizations, rarely rewards individuals with money and prestige. Even in the world of the professional athlete, versatility is rare. A generation ago in professional football, it wasn't at all unusual to find, say, an end who could kick field goals or a quarterback who could punt. Some players even went back and forth from offense to defense. Now every player is only called upon to fulfill one function. In baseball the utility infielder is a staple of every team in baseball, but it is the position player who takes home the fat paycheck. Pitchers used to be left on the mound as long as they were effective. Now starters only rarely finish and long relievers, middle relievers, setup men and closers are called upon at the appropriate innings.

In an age of specialization Renaissance men are revered verbally but not rewarded monetarily. Consequently in a multiracial society the specialized race becomes more prominent due to its dominance in a particular niche. Races or individuals who get short-changed when

it comes to versatility shoot the works on the only thing they've got going for them—boom or bust. The white man, on the other hand, almost always has a fall-back position. The young white child, who expresses risky ambitions, whether to be a professional athlete, an actor, a rock musician, a supermodel, a writer or an artist, is always advised to have "something to fall back on." He usually does. He gets a teaching degree, studies accounting, does free-lance computer programming, moonlights as a massage therapist—whatever.

The wisdom of this policy is glaringly evident, given the low success rate in the more glamorous callings. The downside is that it tends to distract the young person from Plan A. To use an appropriate sports metaphor, he takes his eye off the ball. The original ambition starts to take on the color of a hobby rather than an occupation. The result is that Plan B, gradually but inevitably, trades places with Plan A. Having fallen back on something, the subject often finds that it is difficult to get back up again and pursue the original goal. As a result, the world of "what if" is on his mind till his dying day, even though career paths of Plan A professions are strewn with casualties who gave it their all and ended up with nothing to show for it. The irony is that the Plan B jobs are vital to a functioning society. Plan A jobs, though they remunerate a chosen few, are eminently dispensable.

Therein lies the rub for the Negro. In a technological society his specialties of physical strength and speed are irrelevant. Only in professional sports can he outshine the competition. He knows that if he wants to catch the brass ring, he'd better not drop the ball—literally. There is no Plan B. It's Plan A or McDonald's. For the Negro there are no distractions, no long hours spent mastering backup skills. No "what ifs." The Negro puts all his efforts into the sport of his choice because he cannot afford to fail. This is not to say that he doesn't fail (the number of wannabe professional athletes will always be greater than the number of genuine ones), but it does mean that given equal aptitudes for any given game, the black youth is more likely to make it as a pro than the white youth. If the black youth fails, it is not because he was diverted by Plan B. The comfort zone does not keep him from getting into the end zone. The drawback is that Negroes who don't make it as professional athletes have very little to offer the world.

It is instructive to apply the specialization theory to Asians. The overrepresentation of Asians on honor rolls does not signify that they are a superior race, any more than a black stranglehold on NBA and NFL scoring statistics indicates that blacks are a superior race. Much like Jews, Asian immigrants revere academic achievement to the point where they have few interests outside the classroom and are vastly overrepresented at the elite colleges. It comes as no surprise that their presence is not reflected in the sports teams of said institutions. How many Jews and Asians are on the field when it's Harvard-Yale time in New Haven or Cambridge? If Stanford makes it to the

Rose Bowl, how many Jews and Asians will you see in the pre-game introduction? How about Rice? Or Northwestern? Hell, why should Wong and Wang get banged up on high school playing fields in pursuit of athletic scholarships when they're assured of academic scholarships if they keep their noses glued to the books? They just don't have the size to compete against whites and blacks. Of course, Asians could swim, wrestle or play soccer, but few do. They know they are not likely to excel in athletic competition in America, no matter how disciplined they are—so why even try? Besides, time spent on athletics or other extracurricular interests would divert them from their academic specialty, which would inevitably result in less stellar report cards.

When combined with an above-average IQ, the coolie mentality can gladden the heart of any teacher. But if the adoption of a robot-like existence is the price for academic achievement, few white people would want to pay it. In America, straight-line thinkers are rarely rewarded outside of academic environments. In truth the real world is home to a wild assortment of rebels, quasi-crackpots and misfits who sometimes succeed in ways the academy just can't comprehend. For every straight-A student who disappears into a bureaucracy or a middle-class profession and is never heard from again, there is a rebel, a malcontent or a

party animal with gentleman's Cs—or worse—who finds his calling and flourishes, much to the astonishment of friends, neighbors and teachers who knew him when it was a sure bet he'd never amount to anything. Such unorthodox career paths have largely been blazed by whites. The underachieving black tends to remain at that level. The overachieving Asian eventually graduates from the academy and enters the real world, where his soulless, drone-like discipline assures him a job and a comfortable existence, but does little to advance civilization. His don't-rock-the-boat mentality may assure smooth sailing, but he may never get anywhere worth going. He may even discover to his horror that his children, more Americanized than he, do not regard academic achievement as highly as he does. Having abandoned their specialty—and having found no substitute—they are likely to sink into mediocrity, which is not to be confused with versatility.

A race with diverse gifts may not be as competitive in certain areas as a specialized race. It may take time for individuals who belong to that race to sort through their aptitudes and interests and find their callings. But the civilization such a race creates will be much more rewarding to its creators.

JUDSON HAMMOND

Mendacious Exhibit

The London Times (Nov. 2, 1999) ran an article, "Photo Errors Arm German Neo-Nazis." The piece goes on to describe the latest outrage imposed upon the German people, an exhibit trying to prove that the Wehrmacht (regular Army) participated in the Holocaust, not just the SS. This calumny has backfired! A gallery of photographs showed Wehrmacht soldiers clutching handkerchiefs to their faces to mask the stench, as they filed past a heap of corpses. These and many other haunting photographs are at the core of the touring display that has been shocking ordinary Germans. Since almost every German family had a relative fighting in the Wehrmacht, the exhibit has drawn a lot of flak.

Most of the bodies piled up in the pictures were murdered by the Red Army. Some photos depict corpses of Ukrainian youths slain by the NKVD long before the German Army moved east. They were prisoners in a Soviet camp and the German soldiers in the photos had merely pulled the corpses out for identification. Another photo shows the alleged victims of a German massacre in October 1941

in Kraljevo, Ukraine. The victims were, in fact, Ukrainians, Poles, Russians and even German prisoners of war.

After Soviet units withdrew from western Ukraine at the end of June 1941, locals in Lvov came to the prison to look for relatives and found layers of corpses. In the prison courtyard were two mass graves. As in the other photo, German soldiers were merely helping the locals sort out the corpses. The truth is that the Red Army's treatment of the Christian population of western Ukraine and the Baltic states was so barbaric that the locals welcomed the Germans as liberators. Many joined the Germans in their struggle against Bolshevism.

The organizer of this macabre exhibition, Dr. Hannes Heer, said that 90% of the photos came from former Soviet archives that had been confiscated from captured German soldiers (which would be unusual if these crimes had indeed been committed by the Germans). Dr. Heer admits to having done some retouching, but the flaws run deeper. Original films were cut into separate segments and then displayed in different parts of

the exhibition.

Rather than apologize for the fraud, Berlin historian Peter Steinbach insists that the aim of the exhibit—to show Wehrmacht crimes—has not been compromised. He promises that the wrong order of some photos will be corrected, if only to prevent "certain circles" from exaggerating the mistakes.

It is odd that 54 years after the end of the war the agit-proppers are rehashing mythical atrocities to make the point that all Germans are bad and must atone and pay forever for the so-called Holocaust.

The Germans are in the process of working on a new Constitution to replace the Basic Laws imposed on them by Allied occupation forces, laws designed by Nahum Goldman. Perhaps they are afraid that their new laws will protect not punish the German people for a change and make it difficult to continue to extort money from them.

Because of the doctored photos the arrival of the exhibit in the U.S. has been put on hold. Australia has already banned the exhibit.

Hitler Should Be Put In Context

Was Hitler infinitely evil, an assumption which seems to underlie Ron Rosenbaum's book, *Explaining Hitler: The Search for the Origins of His Evil*? Instead of merely making conjectures on the inner workings of Hitler's mind, his motivations, his psychological development and relations with his parents, would it not have been far more to the point to reflect on Hitler's acts and forget the Freudian garbage?

Was Hitler evil in 1919 when he resolved patriotically to help Germans overcome the devastating and humiliating terms of the Versailles Treaty?

Were Hitler's attitudes towards Jews an isolated phenomenon? During the 1920s hostility towards the Chosen was widespread, especially as a result of the Jewish role in Russia. Hitler was influenced by studies subsidized by Henry Ford (1920-1922). American influences on National Socialism have only been meagerly recognized and studied.

Did Hitler have an evil intent to destroy Britain when his government ceded naval superiority to Britain by the British-German naval treaty of 1935? Did 90% of the voters in the Saar territory think Hitler was evil in 1935, nearly three years after his coming to power, when they voted to return their land to Germany?

Was Hitler evil when Germany incorporated impoverished Austria in 1938 with the enthusiastic approval of the overwhelming majority of Austrians? (Their parliament had designated their land officially as *Deutschösterreich* in 1918.)

Was Hitler evil when he donated a large amount of his personal assets to an anti-smoking campaign?

If Hitler been been intolerant of Jews, why was much of the retail trade in Germany still in Jewish hands as late as the anti-Jewish riots in November 1938, nearly six years after the National Socialists came to power? (England, Spain and other countries had simply expelled their Jews in previous centuries.)

Was Hitler evil when he promptly ordered the cessation of the *Kristallnacht* rioting in spite of public indignation at the assassination of a German diplomat in Paris by a young Jew?

Was Hitler's government evil when it supported an overseas homeland for Jews in keeping with Zionist objectives, in spite of British opposition to Jewish immigration into the British mandate of Palestine? Few other countries showed much willingness to permit the immigration of Jews, as shown by the paucity of results obtained at the Evian Conference in 1938.

Was Hitler evil when German armed forces invaded areas taken by Poland from Germany after decades of Polish abuse of the large German population of these areas?

Was Hitler out of line for seeking a peaceful solution to the Corridor problem? (Poles were convinced they could defeat Germany with the support of England, France and the U.S., a belief that was cynically betrayed when Poland was handed over to the Soviet Union in 1945.)

England and France declared war on Germany on September

3, 1939. Was Hitler evil when he made one effort after the other to negotiate reconciliation between the genetically linked English and German nations after Britain's war declaration and even after the defeat of France?

Who was evil when Rudolf Hess was arrested and silenced after his daring flight to Scotland on May 10, 1941 in the frustrated hope of bringing about peace with England? Hitler and Hess

had a sober awareness of the damages that would be inflicted on the white race by a continuation of the destructive European war. Roosevelt and Churchill, on the other hand, took an evil delight in the prolongation of the war, as manifested in their demand for the unconditional surrender of Germany in January 1943.

Would hundreds of thousands of the finest European manhood from Scandinavia, Belgium, France and the Baltic lands have volunteered for service in the German armed forces if they had sensed that Hitler was a force for evil?

Which was more evil, the internment of hostile Jews and Gypsies late in the war, in contrast to the swift internment of persons of Japanese descent in the U.S. or the genocidal bombing of huge cities like Hamburg and Dresden?

Or the murderous Soviet occupation of

Silesia in 1945? Or the disgraceful treatment of German prisoners of war and the handing over of anti-Communist Russians to Stalin by Eisenhower (Operation Keelhaul)?


Was *Reichsführer* Heinrich Himmler evil when he issued an order at the end of 1942 to reduce "at all costs" the death rates in German labor and concentration camps, in spite of the desperate plight of the Germans themselves?

At the very end of WWII, at the time of Hitler's death, two famous Scandinavians, Nobel Prizewinners, Knut Hamsun and the famous Swedish explorer, Sven Hedin, published eulogistic statements lamenting the German Chancellor's death. Would these two brilliant, well-informed men have praised a monster? The distinguished American man of letters, Ezra Pound, was locked up for years in a mental institution because he had broadcast admonishments against America's conduct of the war.

As the plight of the white component of the U.S. population becomes ever worse in the coming decades, evaluations of National Socialist Germany will probably become more objective in spite of a continuing barrage of anti-German propaganda in the mass media. What will the attitudes of American whites be in another 50 years if by that time they will have become an oppressed, exploited minority? Will there be a radical revision of history?

741


Editor's note: Yes, Hitler triggered WWII by invading Poland. Yes, he was off his rocker when he declared war on the Soviet Union. But to understand Hitler the good must be weighed with the evil, the text should give way to the context. Suffice it to say, it will be decades before this happens.



Knut Hamsun saw some good in Der Führer

Of Taxis and Tyrants

By now everyone has heard about the latest race-guilt paralysis to infect the body politic. Poor Danny Glover, the millionaire Negro movie star, couldn't get a cab to stop in Zoo City, so he held a press conference pinning the blame on "racism." Republican Mayor Rudolph Giuliani, who marches in sodomite street pa-



Giuliani—he stepped and he fetched

rades with much the same dedication as Democrat Bill Clinton proclaims "Gay Pride Months," stepped and fetched at Glover's accusation, ordering that the nonstop cab drivers be severely punished.

On Giuliani's orders the New York City police formed a special investigative unit of black officers to dress in "gangsta" style and hail cabs. Cabbies who choose to keep driving will be cited for some nebulous hate crime or civil violation. Never mind that most cabbies in the Big Apple are blacks or nonwhite immigrants. Never mind that nearly all black cab drivers admit to being the most determined of all to refuse to pick up their own kind.


Stereotypes do not exist for no reason. Cabbies reject prospective black customers based on stereotypes. Negro crime rates are well known to be astronomical and nearly all cab drivers of all races who have been the victims of robberies and assaults have been the victims of Negroes. This same racial stereotype is used by most cops for pulling over Negroes on the streets and highways, a criminal profiling tactic to deal with DWB or Driving While Black. The same logic was once used in law enforcement training techniques, in which officers

were instructed to use certain choke holds and other defensive maneuvers specifically designed to neutralize the more brutal, unresponsive Negro criminals, because their pain threshold level far exceeds that of other races.

Negro taxi customers also tend to be the ones who bail out or otherwise fail to pay the fare. Black riders (especially in groups) often damage taxi interiors with their scabrous behavior. Pimps fighting in the back seat with their "hos" is one example. Also, Negro customers are notoriously bad tipplers. All of these are logical reasons for taxi drivers to reject prospective black riders.

Jewess syndicated columnist Mona Charen retold the story of one cabby who experienced Giuliani's politically correct test. He reluctantly picked up two cops dressed as "gangsta" hoodlums. Identifying themselves, the cop "hoods" got out saying, "You just passed the test." As they walked off, one of the black cops looked back and told the black driver, "And you must be crazy."


Innocent lives must be placed on the line to make social change advocates happy. It's a recurring tragedy for our children, for home and property owners, for businessmen, and now for taxi drivers. It's a recurring proof that the "equality" demanded by the government and its sycophants is impossible except by the denial of freedom to members of one or more groups of people. At the very least Giuliani and his New York City snoops are forcing



Glover in his role as Nelson Mandela

the public to condone Negro "gangsta" criminal attire, appearances and behavior. It is now a "civil right" that the criminal tastes and habits of an ethnic group be accepted.

The "prejudice" exercised by these cab drivers is nothing more than what the Enlightenment social philosophers called "reason." It is John Locke's first law of self-preservation in operation against the wolves of the wilderness who would topple our fragile civilization. Locke pointed out that the wolf could be either a natural enemy or a tyrant abusing his limited authority. The wolf's nature



John Locke was anti-wolf

is well known, Locke wrote. Its mere appearance justifies a defensive first strike. The law of self-preservation that guided the first American colonists has been outlawed and penalized today by the ostensibly "American" government.

How is it that the law of self-preservation has come to be outlawed? How is it that the identification of ravenous wolves is now "stereotyping"? Clarence Page is a black liberal columnist whose article, "Putting a Sting in Taxi Bias," (Washington Times, Nov. 20, 1999) helps us to answer these questions.

Page admits that he is not surprised that people fear blacks, "considering how prevalent the stereotypes of black male violence are." Recall Jesse Jackson's statement that even he would cross the street to get away from having to walk by a group of young blacks. Jesse, however, is not worried about going to Decatur (IL) to defend Negro thugs expelled from school for felony, mayhem and rioting, activities which must now necessarily be defined, as a result of Jackson's actions, as the new form of black "civil rights."

As for what Page calls the prevalence of the image of black violence, we need only note the mass popularity of Negro rap "music" glorifying rape, slaughter, necromancy and sodomy. "Stereotype" is just a slur for what we all know and experience daily.

Page admits that Danny Glover's claims of bias might be exaggerated. When taxi drivers don't recognize the co-star of the *Lethal Weapon* movies, "Can there be any deeper cut to the pride of a Hollywood star?" Page further shows that one of Glover's first roles was that of "one of the scariest hitmen Hollywood ever produced," the dirty

cop opposite Harrison Ford in *Witness*. Such casting fits Glover's classical and frightening tribal physiognomy to a "T": a severely stooped forehead, dog-yellow eyes with black corneas indistinguishable from black pupils, giving a monster alien effect, a flat nose nearly ear-to-ear wide, thick and rubbery lips that rarely close, and mandibles competitive with early evolution carnivores.

Page claims that whenever he visits New York he is penalized just like Glover, only he takes the black argument a step further. "But sometimes, you just don't feel like wearing a suit and tie. As a black man, I have had to think twice about my wardrobe if I had to catch a taxi. The very fact I have to think twice about the possibility of taxi bias is a form of a black tax imposed against black folks." The horror! A "black tax" against black folks who don't dress well, imposed mainly by blacks.

Page recounts the story of how white filmmaker Mike Moore filmed incidents of taxi driver "black bias." He hired a white parolee, Louis Bruno, and a black actor, Yaphet Kotto, and let the cameras roll. Drivers ignored Kotto and picked up Bruno, even when Moore gave Kotto a baby to hold in one arm and a rose bouquet in the other. Part of the problem here is that Kotto is a Glover look-alike. You might remember Kotto as the villain with the alien visage in the James Bond flick, *Live and Let Die*. Moore even hired a clown in full makeup who was picked up before Kotto.


Danny Glover has called for mandatory diversity training for all New York taxi drivers. Page agrees, advising that "someone should tell them not to be so trusting of clowns."

The new offensive against cab drivers is reminiscent of the civil rights campaign against restaurant, shop and hotel owners in the 1960s to open "public accommodations" to unwanted minorities. Those people who wanted to do business or otherwise interact with them were always free to do so. We hear much today about a "woman's right to choose," but everyone's freedom to choose was taken away by "civil rights."

The campaign was also carried to home and private property owners who freely contracted in what they called "restrictive covenants" to exclude unwanted minorities from residential or business real estate. The government outlawed such covenants. Contract law has never been the same.

The word "covenant" imparts a sacred sheen to the contract, reminding us of the moral and communal origins. Today's "capitalists" and "free traders" are suddenly very anti-freedom when a white property owner exercises his right to preserve his home or to expand his business or holdings based on white family or racial interests. Genuine freedom of choice, freedom of contract, freedom of economy and freedom of association are dead in the wake of government force and intimidation. As Page puts it, "Some drivers are foolish enough to turn down just about any black person they see." Foolish? Or smart?

JAY LOCK



Lorden Partially Forgiven

I see Peter Lorden is back in force in December, but I won't gripe again. At least he wasn't talking about the awful Nazis this time. I had toyed with the idea of not renewing *Instauration*, but on the whole, I owe the magazine a lot since 1985. It has kept me company through the darkest days.

About Wolves

If Zip 983 (Nov. 1999, p. 19) had looked up the etymology of *Werwolf* in F. Kluge's famous *Etymologisches Wörterbuch der deutschen Sprache*, he would have found a wealth of information on this psychologically and culturally interesting word. The concept of a man's soul dwelling in the body of a wolf manifests itself in the words of various Indo-European languages, including Greek *lykanthropos* ("wolf-man"). The *Wer-* of *Werwolf* (English werewolf) is related to the Latin word *vir*. Bear in mind that "v" in Latin represented a bilabial rather than a labio-dental sound. The German *Wehr* ("defense") is of a different origin and is related to the English "war."

For many years I have been a loyal subscriber to *Instauration* and an occasional contributor to its pages because I feel that it and similar periodicals can play an important role in the preservation of my race. However, I feel uncomfortable when I encounter amateur speculations in *Instauration*, such as Peter Lorden's article, alas even highlighted by a cover illustration, and Zip 983's silly and incorrect folk etymology.

741

Izzy Defended

In reading over Wolfgang Keller's interesting article on the life of Jewish song plugger and composer Irving Berlin ("Izzy Berlin and American Music," Nov. 1999), I become uneasily aware of a great cultural trap that lies before any *Instaurationist* who enters the literary struggle in the cause of white solidarity by choosing to attack anything Jewish. The simple and solid fact is that not all Jews, whatever one might think of them at the visceral level, are worthy targets of our racial rage. And particularly so in those instances where the Jewish impact has been culturally positive. Irving Berlin's music reflected the great sweep of urban immigrant America. In the last analysis the man who gave us *A Pretty Girl is Like a Melody*, *Alexander's Ragtime Band*, *Blue Skies*, *I've Got My Love to Keep Me Warm*, *All Alone*, *Heat-wave*, *Cheek to Cheek* and *White Christmas*—the composer of all these tunes can't be all bad. Non-Jewish America flocked to the Ziegfeld Follies where Berlin's songs were played because they were sprightly, optimistic, sophisticated and sentimental. To condemn Berlin is a bit like defaming the very age which we *Instaurationists* look back on with special fondness. Nor was Irving Berlin the first to integrate Negro ragtime imagery into the popular musical argot. Decades prior, blackface minstrelsy brought to American musical audiences Negro spirituals and sho' nuf' hand-clappin' precursors of Negro jazz. Think only of Stephen Foster and the early moments of vaudeville. In that musical

world Irish and German, not Jewish, faces made up the bulk of entertainment influence. The world of popular music, prior to the coming of men like Irving Berlin largely replicated the sounds of Paris, Berlin, or Vienna—operetta of the type composed by Robert Stolz, Franz Lehár, Johann and Richard Strauss, Karl Zeller, and Karl Millöcker, plus the wonderful offerings of Irish-born, German-educated, American immigrant Victor Herbert. With the rise of Tin Pan Alley, American musical fare began to take on its characteristic native form of the type that would become known worldwide in its golden age (1925-1935). In the course of my nutty life, I had the good fortune of coming to know a number of the Jewish composers and producers who made up a large segment of this marvelous epoch back in my childhood home of Bucks County (PA), which was a kind of bucolic summertime retreat from the sweltering rigors of Broadway for such as the Hammersteins, Moss Harts, Kitty Carlisles and many more. Broadway of that earlier time became my passion. From that perspective I propose that *Instauration's* writing off the product of these Jews amounts to amputating an important part of our own culture.

I.H.


Unmasking Manning

I thank the Canadian subscriber quoted in *Backtalk* (Nov. 1999). His item added some useful information to my *Elsewhere* story (May 1999) on Canada's participation in the Balkan War. Just the same, I'd like to respond to a few of his comments.

The writer apparently thinks Preston Manning's support for NATO's war against the Serbs is based on principle. Manning's background suggests otherwise. He originally founded the "right-wing" (as the Canadian subscriber calls it) Reform Party as an alternative to Canada's other left-wing parties. But Manning has abandoned almost every non-liberal position his party has held. For example in early November, after years of playing coy on the subject, the Reform Party finally announced it wants to let in 200,000 immigrants a year. That's only a slight reduction from Canada's present level of legal immigration, but probably still enough for this country to maintain the largest per capita rate of immigration in the world. Previously, Manning showed his true colours by formally adding Holocaust orthodoxy to the Reform Party platform. Over time he has demonstrated consistent weakness in the face of liberal media and political opposition. His support for NATO's anti-Serb campaign could well be motivated by fear of opposing American policy.

The Canadian writer is certainly entitled to his pro-Kosovar perspective. But it would be fallacious to suggest that *Instaurationists* should be more willing to support NATO bombing because some lefties oppose it.

I'm puzzled by the writer's depiction of Canadian history professor Michael Bliss. As a former student of his at the University of Toronto, I was unaware that he was even "anti-American," let alone a "nut case."



On the subject of Judson Hammond, however, I not only agree with the Canadian subscriber but would go further and say that Hammond is *Instauration's* best correspondent.

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER

Lorden's Goofs

While Lorden's "The Case of David Cole" (Oct. 1999) is generally accurate, it does contain some errors. Perhaps the most seriously misleading one is his discussion of Mel Mermelstein's second lawsuit against the Institute for Historical Review.

But before addressing that, I want to straighten out some other inaccuracies. First, Mermelstein sued not just the IHR, but also Liberty Lobby and Willis Carto. Mermelstein sued not only for libel, but for a variety of alleged torts. Finally, Mark Lane, who cross-examined Mermelstein at the final hearing was actually the lawyer for Liberty Lobby not the IHR.

As for the major inaccuracy in Lorden's article, it is his claim that Mermelstein's horror stories about Auschwitz were discredited at that final hearing. As Lorden put it, "Under careful cross-examination by IHR [sic] attorney Mark Lane, it transpired that Mermelstein could not possibly have seen what he claimed to have seen. He left the courtroom as a man totally discredited."

In reality Mermelstein's horror stories about Auschwitz never became an issue during the hearing. All that Mermelstein testified about and was cross-examined on was whether or not he was a public figure. Public figures have to meet higher legal standards in order to prove libel, and the defendants claimed that Mermelstein was indeed a public figure. Mermelstein's attorneys at first insisted that he was not.

During Mark Lane's cross-examination of Mermelstein he was able with some help from an IHR staffer to catch Mermelstein in a discrepancy between what he had just testified to in court about the number of public speaking engagements he'd had over the years and what he had said about this earlier, when he'd admitted to making many more public appearances than he had just claimed in court. This was, of course, embarrassing to Mermelstein and led his attorneys to concede the point that Mermelstein is a public figure. This is the extent to which he was "discredited" at the hearing.

Mermelstein's testimony about Auschwitz was never dealt with. When the judge threw out one of Mermelstein's complaints, his lawyers began to complain that they couldn't get a fair trial in that court, since the presiding judge (Jewish) was obviously biased against them. So they withdrew the rest of Mermelstein's complaints with the intention of appealing the judge's ruling to a higher court, which they did. The appeal was not successful. So ended Mermelstein's second suit against the IHR et al.

None of the preceding is intended as a defense of Mermelstein's credibility as an Auschwitz eyewitness. Indeed in 1985 when Mermelstein's first suit against the IHR et al. was still pending, I did some work for the IHR researching Mermelstein.

Among other things I prepared a list of contradictions, falsehoods and exaggerations that cropped up in Mermelstein's various writings and interviews.

If Mermelstein ever were cross-examined in court about his Auschwitz experiences, he might end up a seriously discredited witness. But no such thing has ever happened, despite Lorden's misleading claims. Lorden quotes Harry Elmer Barnes' statement that the purpose of Revisionism is "to bring history into accord with the facts." Unfortunately Lorden's pro-Revisionist essay, especially in the paragraph I've cited, fails to do that.

983

Kitchin Corrected

Dr. Brander C. Kitchin's treatise, "Fateful Times for Whites," (Oct. 1999) was indeed interesting. Although the logic behind his denial that the Bubonic Plague may have been the cause for the trait causing HIV immunity among some whites is flawed. His explanation that the Bubonic Plague cannot be the reason for the greater preponderance of the CCR5(-)delta32 (i.e., the absence of the delta32 cellular receptor) is not correct. He argues that the Bubonic Plague could not have been the cause of this lack of a delta32 receptor (Plague immunity) because the Plague only lasted several years. His theory is that this would not have allowed an offspring who acquired this mutation to reproduce at an advantage, since the disease would have been over by the time this child would have reached reproductive age.

Seems that the good doctor is forgetting his courses on population genetics. Mutations do not occur because of an external need for them. They occur randomly all the time in nature. Most are harmful (death) but some are harmless. If an environmental event occurs where a certain trait is advantageous, this will allow the organism(s) to have the advantage over other organisms that do not have this positive trait. The longer the need for this trait, the greater its frequency will increase in the population. If a mutation or trait never finds an advantage, than its frequency will be minuscule and may continue to exist harmlessly in small percentages through successive generations.

My point is, if this trait did indeed contribute to the survival rates, then this harmless mutation has to have occurred before the Plague and those who had the mutation enjoyed a greater rate of survival. To illustrate my point further, the HIV virus was not the cause of this genetic trait, but those who have it seem to have a distinct advantage.

Variety is essential in nature. A species will occasionally undergo change by way of mutations and with every successive generation through sexual recombination. A species will also attempt to reproduce as much as possible to allow for a greater and more varied population to exist, thereby increasing its chances of survival. That way should an increase in competition, disease or cataclysmic environmental event occur, at least some members of a species may have a chance to survive.

The potato famine is a good example of how identical genetics is unhealthy for any species. Had there been some genetic variety among the crops, the devastation would not have been so extensive. In this case man's intervention with these natural mechanisms did not allow this to exist.

190



Tedious Tape

Shoah, the longest and most boring of Holocaust videotapes, is now available in four cassettes for \$299. The show lasts for 9 hours and 23 minutes. One who wants to test the credibility of the film should listen to the part which says that the Nazi concentration camps in Chelmo, Poland, at one time held 400,000 prisoners, mostly Jews. Only two survived.

Anti-Art News

The Catholic Church has been taking it on the chin lately. A Jewish-inspired PR campaign has practically transformed the late Pope Pius XII into a quasi-Nazi. A few years ago a miniature sculpture of a crucified Jesus, called *Piss Christ*, was displayed in a vial of urine. More recently a portrait of a black Madonna was daubed with elephant dung. On top of all this scatology a woman named Monika Steinhoff has painted a crucified gorilla. The comparison with Jesus on the Cross is more than a tad blasphemous. Speaking of present-day "art," the city of San Diego has commissioned a work consisting mainly of 60 fiberglass boats. As might be surmised, the name of the sculptress is not Jane Smith but Nancy Rubins.

Jews Valued More

A Newsweek poll claimed that Adolf Hitler is "the biggest villain" of the last century." Joseph Stalin came in second. How so? Hitler is charged with killing 11 million in Nazi concentration camps, 6 million of them Jews, 5 million non-Jews. Uncle Joe is charged with killing 50 million or so in his Gulags. Since Hitler is considered more villainous, even though he killed many fewer persons than Stalin, it would seem Jews are more highly valued than non-Jews.

He Thinks Lowly of NYC

Who would have ever thought it. Billionaire trucker Ted Turner has a racist on the payroll of his Atlanta Braves. Reliever John Rocker is not overfond of New York. In an interview with *Sports Illustrated* he let all his hostility towards Zoo City hang out. He worries that taking a subway in New York may put him "next to some kid with purple hair, next to some queer with AIDS, right next to some dude who got out of jail for the fourth time, right next to some 20-year-old mom with four kids." The response was deafening and instantaneous. No matter how Rocker grovels and

humbles himself, no matter how much he apologizes, he won't get off so easily. Truth-tellers seldom do in this day of curbed speech. Marge Schott is probably one of the few who commiserates with him. One who won't commiserate is Jane Fonda. Oddballs Ted and Jane have announced they will go their separate ways.

Prepuce Racket

Jewish doctors have come up with a novel project. They take the foreskins of circumcised babies and use them for skin grafts. If they heard the screams of the mutilated infants, the medicos might turn their profiteering attention to other areas of medicine. Incidentally here's how circumcision works these days. The newborn is placed on a cold, plastic board, his tiny legs tied down with Velcro bands and his arms strapped to his side. The foreskin is then ripped from the glans to the howls of the baby. Bleeding is profuse.

Media Mouthings

N.Y. Times reporter Adam Clymer writes about Ted Kennedy as if he was Jesus come back to earth: "His achievements as

a senator have towered over his time, changing the lives of far more Americans than those who remember the name Mary Jo Kopechne."

In a different sense, Eleanor Clift of *Newsweek* was even further off the mark: "That herd of [impeachment] managers of the House. . . all they were missing were white sheets. They're like nightriders. . ."

Gore Keeps Lying and Lying

On *Meet the Press* (Dec. 19), Gore was asked what changed his life. He replied, "When I joined the army to go to Vietnam." Was he trying to tell us he was a combatant? Fact is, he never dodged a bullet. He was a news correspondent. How many know that he had a personal bodyguard? His spokesmen deny this. They do, however, acknowledge that he had a "security escort."

Griffith Scratched

D.W. Griffith is universally believed to be one of film's greatest directors. Unfortunately his work, particularly his 1915 masterpiece, *Birth of a Nation*, has fallen afoul of minority moviedom. For this reason the Directors Guild of America has dropped his name from the prestigious D.W. Griffith Award. It is claimed that he used racist stereotypes in some of his work.

Naturalization Rites


U.S. District Court, Roanoke, Virginia (Dec. 10, 1999)

Applicants for Citizenship

SAFAA MESBAH ABDELMAKSOUD (Egypt)
APARNA ASHOK ACHARYA (India)
VICTOR GONZALES CAMPOS (Mexico)
DUNG VAN CAO (Vietnam)
JENNIFER JEAN FICKLER (Canada)
KAREN ELIZABETH FICKLER (Canada)
RODNEY ARNOLD FICKLER (Canada)
JOANNA TERESA FILIPCZYK (Poland)
WLODZIMIERZ B. FILIPCZYK (Poland)
CHI RAN JOHNSTON (Korea)
FIGARO JOSEPH (Haiti)
DEVINDER KAUR (India)
DENG KEOVORABOUTH (Laos)
HYEKYUNG KIM (Korea)
MARTIN KLAUS (Switzerland)
BANGALORE G. N. NAGENDRAN (India)
PARVATHI NAGENDRAN (India)
HONG VAN THI NGUYEN (Vietnam)
KIET AHN NGUYEN (Vietnam)
THUY THI NGUYEN (Vietnam)
EFSRTRATIOS NIKOLAIDIS (Greece)
DINH NGOI PHAN (Vietnam)
LILIA BARBO PITTS (Philippines)
MIHOKO TATSUMI SKABELUND (Japan)
BRIAN DOUGLAS SLACK (Canada)
HUGH ASHFORD THORNHILL (Canada)
VORNH VILAYSOUK (Laos)
DAN YU (China)

HUONG THI QUYNH NGUYEN (Vietnam)
ANNETTE EBRELLI (Ireland)
GIACOMO EBRELLI (Italy)
KEVIN JOHN BARRAN (United Kingdom)
MICHAELA CARMEN ADKINS (Germany)
JELPA JAVELLANA CONNER (Philippines)
SETTIMIO DI CORI (Canada)
ANGELA MARY HARKER (United Kingdom)
BRIAN JAMES HARKER (United Kingdom)
TAEOK HENDERSON (Korea)
CARLOS MANZANARES (Mexico)
PRAVINCHANDRA P. SHAH (India)
GEETA VASHEE (Zimbabwe)
CHUN HUI WU (China)
SUN CHA FULLER (Korea)
MONA JAMAL EL-ABD (Lebanon)
RICHARD GEORGE HILL (United Kingdom)
WINIFRED VERA HILL (United Kingdom)
CORINNE LILY PARENT (Canada)
RAMESHCHANDRA M. PATEL (Kenya)
DIANN HILL TAYLOR (United Kingdom)
HANH VINH (Vietnam)
SINH THI WILLBERGER (Vietnam)
YAN LI (China)
MARIAN WACLAW POSPIESZALSKI (Poland)
ZDRAVKO RADAKOVIC (Yugoslavia)
GOSPOVA SIKMAN RADAKOVIC (Yugoslavia)
LOUISA TAKAHASHI (Cyprus)

Time magazine has declared Albert Einstein the "Person of the Century." The boss of Time is Walter Isaacson, who happens to be a Jew. The CEO of the conglomerate that owns Time is Gerald Levin, who also happens to be a Jew. Question: If Einstein wasn't a Jew and Isaacson wasn't a Jew and Levin wasn't a Jew, would Einstein be Time's "Person of the Century"? Whenever Einstein's name is mentioned Instauration feels compelled to recall that rollicking, anonymous lime-
rick:



*There's a famous family
named Stein—
There's Gert, and there's Ep,
and there's Ein;
Gert's poems are bunk,
Ep's statues are junk,
And no one understands Ein.*

War Crimes

How much longer can we continue to stick our noses into other peoples' affairs without becoming the most hated nation on earth? Having beaten Iraq to a pulp, we continue to bomb Saddam and police the Balkan skyways. We maintain sanctions on Iran, Libya, Cuba and how many other countries? We've lobbed cruise missiles at Afghanistan, supposedly to avenge the blasting of U.S. embassies in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam. We practically wiped out the infrastructure of Serbia and Kosovo. We've taken part in the military crusade against the drug lords of Colombia. We bombed China's embassy in Belgrade, killing a few Chinese.

Every one of these aggressive bullying moves stirs up more worldwide animus against the U.S. Only the white nations of Western Europe, Canada, Australia and New Zealand are still friendly. The Third World is largely turning against us, along

with Russia and its allies. Most of the Second World is also harboring bitter feelings about the U.S.

In addition to our large casualties in the big official wars a scattering of U.S. troops have been lost almost everywhere they've been sent. Two hundred forty-one in Beirut, 256 in the Newfoundland crash of the military transport flying American troops back from the Sinai. Twenty-eight killed in the attack on the American barracks in Saudi Arabia.

Everything we do we seems to be warming up the Cold War. Even if we "win" some wars, we're actually losing them because the proportion of American Majority members in the Armed Forces shrinks disproportionately. The Majority is the demographic pillar of the nation. Make this a minority, as it is rapidly becoming, and the country will simply fragment into a mass of mini-wars, cities against suburbs, class against class, race against race. Once the racial tippie point is reached, we will be losing our nation, our culture and our civilization. As we are busy committing racial suicide, we may finally come to know—too late—that the world's best blood has been spilled in vain.

Trivia

•The crudest presidential candidate this year is inarguably Senator John McCain. He can't resist telling his inner circle his favorite "joke": Chelsea is ugly because her mother is Janet Reno. There is a chance that this senatorial jokester may be taking his twisted humor to the Oval Office next year. We can well understand why McCain's second wife was driven to drugs and was actually caught stealing some. She's in the media's good graces, however, because the McCain family adopted a dark-skinned Asian girl.

McCain was an avid supporter of the Vietnam War operation that consisted of dropping 800 tons of bombs a day on North Vietnam from 1965 to 1967. This campaign killed about a million "gooks," as McCain called them before he decided to run for president.

•Newsweek published the name of George W. Bush's five principal foreign policy gurus. Two are WASPs, Richard Armitage, an ex-Pentagon official, and George Shultz, an ex-Secretary of State; one is a hybrid, Condoleezza Rice, an "expert" on Russian affairs; two are Jews, Paul Wilfowitz, dean of Johns Hopkins,

and Richard Perle, one of the America's leading Israel Firsters.

•Four openly homo members of the Bush Steering Committee in D.C. have apparently been appointed by George W. Bush, who solemnly promised a gathering of Christian Republicans that he would never give a job to anyone who openly advocated homosexuality. Meanwhile brother Jeb in Florida is getting cozier and cozier with Log Cabin Republicans, an all-queer group.

•Black voters are split between Bradley and Gore. Some Negroes remember Bradley fondly as a white basketball star, who made a point of getting on well with Negroes. Bradley boosters are trying to make hay by using his multiple interracial friendships as proof that he was or could be "psychologically" black. They hope he may even become to be viewed as the "second black president." Negro novelist Toni Morrison already pinned the designation of the "first black president" on Clinton.

Shame-Faced Pol

The Democratic governor of Missouri, Mel Carnahan, is vying for John Ashcroft's Senate seat come November. Carnahan slavishly follows the Demo Party tactic of automatically accusing Republican office seekers of racism. Digging around in some musty newspaper files, a GOP researcher discovered that back many years ago Carnahan had once chortled in a barber shop quartet in which all the participants wore blackface.

More King-Size Dough

Although most American celebrities and politicians donate their papers to the Library of Congress or some such institution, the family of Martin Luther King has asked for and will probably get \$20 million for St. Martin's papers. They may or may not include transcripts of the FBI tapes of King's hotel sexcapades. The bill to appropriate this money was introduced by Senators Ben Nighthorse Campbell, Joe Lieberman, Chris Dodd and other unworthies. To smooth over this ripoff spokesmen for the Library of Congress said the King *Nachlass* was priceless, easily worth \$30 million. As Rep. Bill Thomas has noted, the proposed \$20 million would be by far the most Congress has ever paid for such material. The largest sum ever shelled out for similar documents was in the 1930s when \$1.5 million was spent to buy the Vollbehr collection of 3,000 15th-century books, which included one of three perfect copies of the *Gutenberg Bible*.

Primate Watch



A jury didn't believe that ex-football star **Jim Brown** threatened to kill his wife by snapping her neck. The 12 good (wo)men and true did believe that Brown vandalized her car.

One prime reason conservatives have earned a bad name in this country is their preachy hypocrisy. Take **George Roche III**, holier-than-thou sermonizing head of right-wing Hillsdale College. "Honor and virtue are increasingly rare commodities. Cheating and lying have become a common habit." He wrote this in the college magazine, *Imprimis*, while carrying on a 17-year affair with his daughter-in-law, who committed suicide when all the sordid details came to light. Will the subject of Roche's next sermon be, "Marital Fidelity?"

Next chairman of the Fed? When the newly appointed Jewish **Alan Greenspan** steps down, the betting is that **Roger Ferguson**, currently the Fed's vice chairman of the Board of Governors, will take his place. In line with Clinton's policy of appointing anyone but a Majority member to high office, Ferguson easily fits the main requirement. He's black.

It's tough to be a pro-Nazi these days. Gary Lauck, having spent four years in four different **German jails** for allegedly passing out Nazi propaganda, returned to the U.S. where only a few months later he was arrested for lying in an application for a gun permit. He could get a sentence of from one to five years—and well might.

Jessica Bibliowicz is planning to be the nation's first female billionaire by organizing a whole string of financial service companies. Being the daughter of **Sanford Weill**, co-head of octopean Citigroup, doesn't exactly dim her chances. Weill, one of the world's richest Jews, has lately been in the news by appointing former Secretary of the Treasury **Robert Rubin** as a top Citigroup exec. It's the old Jewish network networking. Rubin may soon be the subject of a government investigation looking into charges that he enriched himself while in his Treasury job.

Two homos sneaked up on 13-year-old Jesse Dirkhising in Rogers (AR). One of them, **Davis Carpenter**, watched while his lover, **Joshua Brown**, 22, tied the kid up and repeatedly sodomized him. Jesse was handled so roughly that he died of

asphyxiation. We've all heard how Matthew Shephard was "crucified by two homophobes in Wyoming. How many, if any, of us have heard about what Carpenter and Brown did to Jesse?

Rev. Jerry Falwell, who used to have no use for homosexuals, is beginning to whistle a different tune. He invited 200 queers to his Lynchburg (VA) church where he promised to lower his rhetoric a few decibels and where he said he will continue to hate the sin, but love the sinner. If Jerry continues in this vein, he may actually become politically—and sexually—correct.

Cornel Cooks, who raped and murdered Jennie Ridling, a disabled 87-year-old white woman back in 1982, finally got what was coming to him 17 years after the Oklahoma Negro committed his abhorrent crime. Before he died he boasted about a letter he had received from members of the **Ridling family**. They forgave him.

Power 25, an influential gay and lesbian lobby group, includes such high and mighty members as media mogul **Barry Diller** of USA Networks, record mogul **David Geffen** and **Andrew Tobias**, currently treasurer of the Democratic National Committee.

Luis Garcia, 47, was arrested in Dallas for attempting to sell his four-year-old daughter and eight-year-old son. He hoped to get enough money to buy drugs.

Florida Judge Stephan Mickle was arrested and charged with DUI for weaving his silver Mercedes from the right to wrong lanes on I-75. Mickle's résumé is full of firsts: first black undergraduate at the University of Florida; first black law professor at the University; head of the first black law firm in Gainesville; first black Alachua County judge.

Air France is being sued by a **Canadian Jewish businessman** who whines that a flight attendant prevented him from saying his morning prayers on a flight to Paris.

Although he is charged with shooting and killing his fiancé, baseball star **Rae Carruth** is out free as a (black)bird on \$3-million bail.

A womanizer and plagiarizer will soon be sitting on an honored four-acre spot in

Washington between the Jefferson and Lincoln Memorials. The person in question is **Martin Luther King Jr.** who, if he hadn't been shot, would have gone down as just another silver-tongued, rabble-rousing Negro race agitator.

Tonica Jenkins, 24, managed to worm her way into an elite doctoral program at Yale by forging documents and inventing endorsements from distinguished professors. If affirmative action doesn't do the trick, forgery will. Tonica—she must be black because of her "different first name"—has been ordered to repay Yale \$16,000 worth of scholarship money. Otherwise she will get off practically scot free.

Victor Botnick, a onetime top-ranking aide to ex-New York Mayor **Ed Koch**, had to resign some years ago when it turned out that, like Tonica, he had lied about his college credits. More recently Botnick has been feuding with TWA for screwing up his reservations on a flight to Israel. To get even, Botnick set off stink bombs in two planes, once forcing an overseas flight to Israel to turn around and land at Boston Airport. Another TWA plane was compelled to wait hours on an airport tarmac while maintenance workers "deodorized" the cabin.

Jane Smith, 42, white divorced mother with a 12-year-old boy, had a 14-year-old black in her North Carolina science class named **Michael Carter**. When Ms. Smith learned that Michael was on dialysis and desperately needed a functioning kidney, she agreed to be cut open. Apparently the operation was successful. Smith, unsurprisingly, is a God-fearing Baptist.

Jennifer Vanlerberghe, a 6'2" blonde from North Carolina, won a contest to go out on a date with 4'7" **Gary Coleman**, the bankrupt black dwarf. Although the twosome made a few small headlines, Vanlerberghe claims she wouldn't want to do it again. There seems to be a limit to what star-struck blondes will do to get publicity.

A bunch of **Armenian Americans** have been charged with stealing \$1 billion from California's Medi-Cal System.

The **National Catholic Reporter** (circulation 50,000) gave its "Jesus of the People" award to artist **Janet McKenzie** (born in Brooklyn, moved to Vermont) for a painting of a dark-skinned Jesus. One of the judges commented, "It's not real masculine. It's not real feminine. It's not really androgynous, either."

Talking Numbers

1.83 71 2 100.013 .03% 43 31425

The Ford Motor Co. has been ordered to cough up \$7.5 million to compensate female workers in two Chicago area plants, who claimed they were the victims of incessant sexual harassment. In addition, Ford must put \$10 million aside to instruct managers and male workers on female sensibilities.

A court has ordered historically black Tennessee State University to see to it that its student body is 50% white.

23% of black American households are on line; 64% of Asian; 36% of Hispanic; 34% of white;.

83% of Hispanics, 80% of blacks and 49% of white students failed recent Massachusetts state examinations.

A volume entitled *100 Banned Books* does not include *The Dispossessed Majority*.

Bill Gates's foundation is getting to be a menace to Majority members. The price gouger's latest \$1 billion worth of scholarships, to be paid over a 20-year period to minority members, is expected to result in a 35% increase in black graduate degrees and a 10% increase in black undergraduate degrees. Altogether Bill's treasure trove will provide up to 1,000 grants for minority students each year. As time goes on Gates's eleemosynary activities are beginning to resemble those of the Ford Foundation, which is dedicated to helping everybody in the world but his fellow whites.

President Clinton has logged more days abroad during his presidency than any other U.S. president. In 1982, the year of President Reagan's most extensive travels, he spent 22 days abroad. In 1998, Clinton's most extensive *Wanderjahre*, he spent nearly 45 days abroad.

5 lawyers in Texas will split a \$3.3 billion fee for negotiating a \$17.9-billion settlement with tobacco companies.

Dade County, which includes Miami, has enacted a law which makes a "discriminatory tipper" liable to fines of up to \$1,000. A black customer claimed a Thai restaurant had added 15% to his check but not to the checks of white customers. The restaurant owner admitted tips have occasionally been added because Negroes "don't tip good." The eatery was

also ordered to spend \$15,000 on sensitivity training for its help.

A New York Post poll taken to determine the 25 most evil people of the millennium, put Adolf not unexpectedly in first place, Bill Clinton was second. Hillary came in sixth. Jack the Ripper was 25th.

The 1998 U.S. defense budget added up to more than \$260 billion, which represents nearly \$850 per capita. Israel spends much more per Israeli (around \$1,500), but a great deal of the money comes from the United States. South Korea has the most troops, nearly 6 million. China comes next with 5 million.

The U.S. gross domestic product has increased from \$1.9 trillion in 1950 to \$8.1 trillion in 1997. From 1947 to 1973 private average hourly earnings increased 75% (to \$8.55). Since then they have fallen to \$7.75, a decrease of 9.4%.

The Los Angeles school district, the nation's second largest, continues to advance failing students. If "social promotions" were ended, 350,000 students would have to be held back a grade next year.

Clinton's inner circle (26 key advisers over 7 years) has been 100% white. His cabinet (28 appointees over 7 years) has been 61% white, 25% black and 1.4% Hispanic. Of the 670 positions in Clinton's executive office, whites composed 79.7%; blacks 11.6%; Asians 4%; Hispanics 3.9%; Native Americans 0.8%. It's an educated guess that well over 50% of the whites whispering in Clinton's ear are Jews.

Only 1 in 10 of the women murdered in the U.S. is murdered by strangers. The most murderous state for females is Louisiana, which has a kill rate of 3.94 per 100,000.

Foehrunwald in Bavaria, the last of 90 Jewish camps, finally closed in 1957, 12 years after Hitler's suicide.

40,000 prison inmates have been illegally receiving welfare and Social Security checks.

Ronald Perelman, the billionaire Jewish Lothario, will only have to pay \$12,885 a month to ex-wife Patricia Duff for child support, which is \$885 a month more

than she has been getting. The grasping shiksa wanted \$100,000 a month for her and Perelman's 5-year-old daughter. She claims she didn't want a penny for herself.

By the latest count 41% of American females, aged 15 to 29, were unmarried when they had their first child. 60 years earlier it was 8%. In the 1990s the figure jumped from 18% to nearly 53%. For black women the percentage of first births, either born or conceived before marriage, doubled from 43% in the 1930s to 86% in the 1990s.

4 million Hispanics live in the Los Angeles area. 1.1 million hang out in Dade County (FL).

Rev. Martin Luther King Jr.'s "I have a dream" oration was rated as the top American speech of all time by 137 scholars. 27 of the top speeches were mouthed in the 1960s. Kennedy, Roosevelt and Reagan were credited with 6 stem-winders each.

Only 10% of the 4th, 8th and 12th grade students who scored highest on the National Assessment of Educational Progress test in reading, math and science were minority members. In 1995 minorities earned only 13% of the nation's bachelor's degrees, 11% of professional degrees and 6% of doctoral degrees.

Nelson Mandela made a brief visit to Seattle in December and walked away with \$30 million for health care, education and political reform in South Africa. Gates's foundation picked up half the freight. Will there ever be a foundation dedicated to helping Majority members and only Majority members?

1 out of every 3 people with the AIDS virus in the U.S. says he or she has neither the time nor the money for treatment.

At the beginning of last year some 524,000 military, civilian and contract workers had "top secret" security clearances. Another 1.8 million had "secret" clearances.

1.5% of the 800 million web pages are pornographic.

29 members of the 106th Congress have been accused of spousal abuse, 7 have been arrested for fraud, 19 have been accused of writing bad checks, 14 have been arrested on drug charges, 8 for shoplifting. (National Assn. for the Advancement of White People newsletter)

Larry King, the ex-swindler, with all his wives and all his TV programs, hardly has time to read anything more than newspapers. Yet he acts as if he is *au courant* with all the bestsellers. He asserts that lawyer Scott Turow's new novel, *Personal Inquiries*, "is as good as it gets for those interested in the machinations of the legal field." Elie Wiesel's latest self-serving memoirs make Larry quiver. "The Nobel Prize winner is one of our great thinkers and writers, and his book is a gem." Is this valid literary criticism or some form of literary networking, considering all the above are Chosenites?

King recently conducted a TV interview with "Judge Judy" (Sheindlin). In the middle of the discussion on the ongoing spread of Yiddish (a debased form of German), Judge Judy suddenly vented the word *emes*. King didn't bat an eyelash. He knew exactly what his guest was talking about. *Emes* is Yiddish for truth. Not many Jews know this, but more Yiddishisms are emerging every day. Our language may soon be peppered with them.

Non-Jews are too fearful to crack down on Jewish talking heads. Only Jews have the guts and "protective coloration" to criticize the perpetrators of this electronic chit-chat. One of the toughest critics is Ben Stein, who has this to say about his competition:

About Ted Koppel: "A standard knee-jerk liberal Democrat. . . .He's always looking for the politically correct answer."

About Barbara Walters: "The worst. . . .She's never looking for anything real or sincere. She's always looking to confirm the prejudices of her friends and colleagues in the ruling circles of Manhattan."

About Charlie Rose: "A fake, blow-dried intellectual. The word 'schmaltzy' doesn't go anywhere near far enough. He pretends to ask deep questions, but they're about as deep as Barbara Walters."


About Bryant Gumbel: "A nice guy to be sure, but he always thinks there's a racial conspiracy going on behind everything."

Stein agrees with Instauration that the best of the lot is Brian Lamb of C-Span. "He asks questions without trying to get a laugh and elicits responses that tell us something we want to know."

Larry King interviewed mask-faced Joan Rivers (Nov. 24). What a pair! Rivers scathingly denounced Clinton for degrading the presidency, but "would vote for him again, because I'm a whore."

The filthiest-minded TV "star" is Robert Schlimmel, the son of Holocaust survivors. Is there a connection? Anyway, he'll be on the Fox network come next fall. One of his favorite topics is "fake breasts," delivered with the appropriate number of smirks. Another choice topic is what victims of heart attacks should do about getting back to the business of sex. Schlimmel again proves that the People of the Book are the People of the Dirty Books.

From Zip 743. In a PBS special on Africa black activist Harvard Professor Gates interviews a man in Mali standing



next to his slave. Legal, says the slave owner. His slave looks on, beaming. No outrage from the professor. Apparently black-on-black slavery is more acceptable than white-on-black slavery.

From Zip 838. I was watching the *Charlie Rose Show* on TV a few weeks ago. His guest was cigarette-puffing ex-West German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt. I perked up when Rose asked him: "It has been reported that the bookseller amazon.com had received many orders for Hitler's *Mein Kampf* from people in Germany, but they have had difficulty shipping the books to the Fatherland. Is it possible to buy the book in German bookstores?" Schmidt paused, took a long drag on his cigarette and said, "Yes, one can get the book" in Germany, "but it might be difficult as there is no real demand for it." Rose did not press the issue, of course. Was Schmidt simply lying through his teeth or was he ignorant of how the "democratic process" works in Germany?

The New Testament states all too clearly that Jews pushed a reluctant Pontius Pilate into ordering the crucifixion of Jesus. Yet when *Saturday Night Live* ran a Hanukkah sketch in which a character impersonating pop star Brinkley Spear says that Christians have forgiven Jews "for having killed our Lord," and another character impersonating Celine Dion, who alluded to Jews owning all the banks and movie studios, the ADL had a conniption fit. NBC had to solemnly swear that the sketch would never reappear on TV. Absolutely no reruns without the offending words being stricken. Ironically the NBC exec doing the swearing was Chosenite Roz Weinman. (It would be nice if the Jews got together and decided how to spell Hanukkah. Sometimes a "C" begins the word; sometimes it doesn't.)

Talk about censorship. Non-Jews are forbidden to believe in their own Gospels. What more example is needed to show that members of the 2% are in charge of the thinking processes of the 98%? What further proof is required to show that the tube has been permeated with Jewishness, that we have become cultural prisoners of an alien mindset?



Waspishly Yours

Is it any wonder that Mark Twain called it “the damned human race”? What else could it be, so long as it contains Slobodan-killing slobs like Slick Willie Clinton, stealth Jews like Madeleine Albright and lithping Serb-killers like slithering Morty Zuckerman?

I rarely watch TV, except for the talking heads; but now I understand why Elvis shot out his TV. If I could afford a pink Cadillac—and like Elvis was certain of resurrection—I wouldn’t worry about being seen on the road to Emmaus. I’d worry more about the media way to Graceland.

If Willie can’t even remember WWII, I’m sure he can’t remember the war for Southern independence. Has he ever heard of Gettysburg? Does “Southerner” Slick Willie even have a clue what Marse Robert was doing in Pennsylvania, that far north into the Evil Empire?

England was salivating to intervene on the side of the South in the so-called Civil War—for many reasons, including the cheap cotton it needed for its mills in Manchester. And what was wrong with taking a third bite of the apple anyway, after the baby beatings at Brandywine and Bunker Hill, the surrender of the toga at Saratoga and finally locking the Key to the star-spangled colonies by the failed effort at Fort McHenry?

But what England really needed in 1863 was a signal from the South in the form of a signal victory on Northern soil. And so Pickett was picked to picket-fence-in Meade and to strew the meads at Gettysburg with sacrificial meat, the noble sacrifice of a murderous mistake. Thus Pickett’s division was a desperate sacrifice on the altar of Realpolitik, Marse Robert’s desperate gamble to stand in the lee of England. The Potomac was Lee’s Rubicon in reverse.

The real reason the South lost the “Civil War” was that it had already lost control of the media.

So what’s our motive for intervening in a civil war now? Is NATO our instrument in the Balkans for the New World Order, i.e., globalism?

After all, we stole California fair and square. When the wetbacks there and in Texas, and the Cubans in Miami, want to secede and reunite with Mexico, and/or form a greater Cuba under the banner of browns-kinned baseball, will we fight or willingly relinquish control of our Kossovos, after the “global community” gangs up on us?

I’m silenced into nausea by all the spinning lies coming out of the White House about our slaughter of the Serbs. Senator McCain sneered, “This is an army that was beaten by Croatia.”

Does anybody doubt that conquering Rome was culturally inferior to Greece? Does anybody doubt that conquering Rome was superior to Israel? Was Attila superior to St. Augustine? Does anybody doubt that the losing Japa-

nese had a warrior code superior to any other?

Since the Nazis overran France in six weeks, what does that say for gay Paree?

Does anybody really believe that the American colonies were culturally superior to Hume, Newton and the philosopher who unLocked the constitution of the U.S.?

Come to think of it, Senator McCain, who shot you down? If the Serbians are to be sneered at for being beaten by the Croatians, what does it say for the superpower humiliated by the pajama-clad Vietnamese?

Didn’t the Serbs take two million casualties and tie down 15 Axis divisions in Yugoslavia in WWII? And didn’t many German G.I.’s prefer to fight in Russia rather than in Serbia, because of the savage tenacity of the Serbian soldiers? And wasn’t it Rebecca West who said of the Serbs, “They live without complaint and die without regret”?

Why was it wrong for England to intervene in our “Civil War,” if it’s okay for us to intervene in Serbia’s?

I know Slick Willie would prefer to muck around with Monica rather than think about Antietam. I know he dodged the draft so he’d prefer to forget Vietnam. But shouldn’t a president who can’t remember George Pickett at least be able to remember Masada, especially with Albright, Cohen, Berger, Rubin, Ross, Indyk, Greenspan and Monica herself all around to remind him?

I need another rabies shot every time I hear a talking head on TV lamenting that the Serbians can’t “get over” their defeat on the “field of blackbirds” by the Turks in 1389. They’ve been fighting one another for centuries in the Balkans—over 600 years! Isn’t it time to “get over it” and get on with it?

Among other times, the Jews were conquered in 721 B.C., in 586 B.C., and the Romans wiped them out in A.D. 70. Did they forget? Did the Safires and Zuckermans and drecky Kondrackes forget all the kosherites who now deplore the slaughters in Kossovo, who now diminish the dedication of the Serbs to their holy places, while the Jews cry “Never again!” to the possibility of another loss of Jerusalem? “Never again!” to the possibility of losing even a tiny part of what had always been Arab in Jerusalem.

While the kosherites refuse to relinquish a hectare of the holy land stolen from the Arabs, Chosenites expect—insist—that the Serbs should relinquish their holy places in Kossovo, indeed should cede the seed of their culture to the Slavic insouciance of “just getting on with it,” just like the Jews “just got on with it,” after Auschwitz.

Why should the AshkeNazis forget the millions of Christians whom kosherite commissars killed and starved and worked to death in the Gulag? Why do the Chosenites still torture their consciences with the thousands of Pales-



tinians they've killed, and the country they've stolen? Why don't these conscience-stricken Jews just follow their advice to the Serbians and "get over it"? Why don't these koshers just "get over it" too, and just get on with mastering the American media and get on with their careers in decoding the Cabalistic mysteries of Wall Street and get on with wailing over their watered-down stock in the Wailing Wall?

If the Serbs should "just forget" 1389 in Kossovo and just "get on with it," why don't the English forget 1066 and 100 years of warfare in France? Why don't the French just "forget" Joan of Arc? And why doesn't the South just "get over" Sherman's march to the sea? And why doesn't the North just "get over" all that bull about two lost Bull Runs?

Why don't Americans forget all that bull about Bataan? And who cares about Corregidor? What's the point of cherishing this old stuff? Who remembers the *Maine*? Let's remember the Alamo no mo', no mo'. And do the Chosen still remember the real Chosin reservoir the way they still remember the mythical Masada?

Why don't our Hebrew brethren just forget the Holocaust?

In 1978 and 1982—after a taking a decade to digest what they had already ripped off in 1967—the "light unto the nations" gave us two more repeat performances of their usual style of "ethnic cleansing" including 29,000

casualties caused by their invasion of the Lebanon in 1982, not including the icing on that piece of cake, the 2,000 defenseless Palestinians slaughtered in Sabra and Shatila, following three months of round-the-clock bombing of West Beirut.

Why don't we forget that the Jews are still occupying southern Lebanon? Speaking of Kossovo, why hasn't a single—not one!—talking head alluded critically on TV to the "ethnic cleansings" perpetrated by the Jews.

If Belgrade was bombed because the Serbians attempted to "cleanse" their province of Kossovo, why don't we bomb Tel Aviv for having evicted 1.5 million Palestinians from their land and/or homes? And, since we forced Milosevic to allow the Albanians to return to Kossovo, why don't we force Tel Aviv to allow the evicted Palestinians to return to their stolen lands and homes? And since we're allowing the Jews to extort money from Swiss banks, et al., why don't we force the "light unto the nations" to return the value of what they have stolen?

Why don't we bomb the Jews for their aggression? Why don't pigs fly? Why don't Gentiles dominate the media? Why don't the Jews eat pork? Why is Capitol Hill "occupied territory"?

Why did Mark Twain despair of "the damned human race"? I think I know the answer.

V.S. STINGER

An Honest Jew Looks at "The Big H"

It seems that only a Jew can question the Holocaust. As if to prove the point, Peter Novick has written *The Holocaust in American Life*, in which he argues that the Holocaust has become an obsession in American life through the deliberate manipulation by Zionist organizations. Since Novick rejects the claims of Holocaust deniers, much of his approach must be classified as revisionist. He says, correctly, that during WWII Jews were not perceived as the primary victims of Nazism. This jibes with the thesis of Professor Arthur Butz that the myth of Jewish extermination was basically a postwar invention. Novick also notes that Jews were only a small percentage of those interred in German camps. (This may come as quite a surprise to the average TV-watching American.) Prior to WWII the total number of concentration camp inmates never exceeded 20,000, of whom no more than 10% to 15% were Jews.

Novick stresses a key point—that the amount of attention devoted to the Holocaust

has increased inversely to the number of years past the event. The Holocaust as an historic event hardly appeared in the consciousness of the American public until the Eichmann trial in Israel in 1961. It was really not until Israel's blitzkrieg victories over the Arab armies in 1967 that anyone started reliving the Holocaust. (This author, who grew up in the 1960s, can attest to the truth of these words. No one was worrying about or experiencing guilt for "failure to rescue Jews" in those happy days of the Sexual Revolution.)

Novick's #1 reason for Holocaustomania (Alfred Lilienthal's classic term) may not be completely accurate, but nevertheless deserves to be underlined and capitalized. It is Victimhood, the new American status symbol amplified by Jews who hold "strategic positions in the mass media."

It would be interesting to determine just how deeply Novick delves into the virtually unknown history of Nazi-Zionist

collaboration. Does he discuss the infamous Dr. Adolf Kastner and his sacrifice of the majority of Hungarian Jewry to the alleged Nazi "gas chambers" to save his friends? Does he go into the Kastner affair in Israel and the charges by Malchiel Grunewald? Does he even quote David Ben-Gurion's famous statement that had he to choose between saving half the children of Jewry and sending the other half to Israel, he would choose the survival of the Jewish state first?

Novick appears to be leftist. He decries the "inward and rightward turn of American Jewry in recent decades." He finds the glorification of the Holocaust offensive because of its alleged uniqueness. This is a polite way of saying that the Holocaust is now a form of Jew worship camouflaged as a sort of unique catastrophe.

It also demonstrates that some Jews are now seeing through the never-ending Holocaust hype.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull


The British Empire, as every reasonable person must admit, was a philanthropic institution expanded during periodic fits of English absentmindedness. I realise that this view is not widely held in the United States, but a consideration of the facts may do something to modify ingrained prejudice.

But first let me grasp the nettle or rather the thistle. It must be conceded that the Scots played a large part in the expansion of the British Empire—a part which they are now only too willing to forget. As historian Arnold Toynbee once remarked, the Scots are a kith rather than a kin, a sort of uneasy combination of Pict, Scot, Welsh, Angle and Norseman. Their history reminds one of Japan: eight centuries of internecine warfare before they were finally integrated into the United Kingdom. Many ancestors of modern Protestants came down to London with James VI of Scotland, now James I of England as well. Their enthusiasm for the second British Empire was fired by the English settlement of Virginia. They were very much to the fore in the subsequent expansion of the North American colonies.

The great and good Dr. Johnson, the son of a bookseller from Litchfield in the heart of England, was a stern critic of the Scots. When someone remarked on the natural beauties of Scotland, he observed that the finest view a Scotchman ever sees is the high road leading south. He was less balanced when he described oats in his dictionary as "A grain, which in England is generally given to horses, but in Scotland supports the people." Oats are healthier fare than wheat. When the Scots ate porridge for breakfast, they had a low incidence of heart disease. Now they have a high one.

The Scots, you see, came from a poor country and were therefore willing to put up with poor land on the frontiers, land which the English found relatively uninteresting. The Presbyterians were very much in the front ranks of the American revolutionaries. In fact a Hessian officer remarked (exaggerating somewhat) that it was a Scotch Presbyterian revolution! However, the Highlanders of the Appalachians rallied to the banner of Flora Macdonald who rated the principle of monarchy so important that she switched her allegiance to the Hanoverians. Simon Fraser, discoverer of the Fraser river, was the son of an American Tory. The ethnic pattern was repeated in Canada, Australia, New Zealand and South Africa. The Scots settled some godforsaken territory or other. The English were finally forced to send troops and annex the territory

when they got into trouble. The classic case is that of Gordon at Khartoum, a crackpot if ever there was one. He vainly defied the overwhelming forces of the Mahdi, with the consequence that the English had to send gunboats up the Nile to massacre the fuzzy-wuzzies thirteen years later. The Scots have great achievements to their credit, in science, poetry and war, but their best friends, the English, would have to admit that they are a wee bit aggressive.



The attitude of the present-day Scots towards their English neighbours reminds me somewhat of Norwegian attitudes towards their erstwhile overlords, the Danes, except that the Scots were so often the overlords of the English. The official Norwegian line now is that their countrymen were always peaceful farmers, fishermen and perhaps merchants, but never Vikings like the wicked Danes. This is such an awful fib that it induces gasps. Think of Rolf the Ganger, the founder of Norse hegemony in Normandy, or Harold Hardrada, captain of the Varangian guard in Constantinople and claimant to the crown of England, or Aud the Deepminded, Viking Queen of Ireland. The Norwegians were not just Vikings. They comprised many of the Viking leaders. The fact is, the Norwegians have a chip on their shoulders where the Danes are concerned, because the latter tell funny stories about them. I regard this as fair. If we can't make fun of our neighbours, what is the use of having them?

I have strayed somewhat from my justification of the British Empire. Don't think for a moment that I am trying to blame it all on the Scots. Not at all. I was just trying to set the record straight. Let me finish with a memory I have of Edinburgh University many years ago. One Edinburgh professor, a fine-looking man, was speaking to a Central European lady, who breathlessly remarked: "I suppose the Scots must be the finest men in Britain." I expected him to pass it off with a light laugh. Not at all. He replied in his distinctive Edinburgh burr: "No, Madam, the finest man in Britain is the London cockney: brave, kind, humorous and quick-witted." You will understand why I felt demeaned. I remarked, "A pity we had to sell him down the river." The Scot said, "That is what I implied." That kind of generosity is only found among men who have no doubts about their own courage and cleverness. That Scot was the officer type if ever I saw it.

But I digress. The Scots are such a fascinating subject. I really must get back to the British Empire another time.



Canada. The Wealthy Boomer, a business publication, has hired Julius Melnitzer to write a column about tax shelters. The magazine's editor didn't know or didn't care to know that Melnitzer is one of the biggest scammers in the history of Canadian scamdom. Back in 1991 he bilked Canadians out of \$58 million. A judge ordered him to repay \$20 million to his victims but as far as can be ascertained not a single penny has changed hands. Melnitzer spent a few years in a country club jail where he played tennis and was even allowed to bring his horse.

England. Muslims in Britain are protesting loudly a play by the queer American playwright, Terrence O'Grady, that portrays Jesus as a homo.

Blonds and blondes are in shorter and shorter supply, according to Steve Jones, professor of genetics at University College in London. Travel, lower birthrates, wars, cross-racial marriages and affairs are doing the ethnic cleansing. Sad, very sad.

Writes Kathy Phillips in *The Vogue Book of Blondes*, a British book shortly to be published in the States:

Imagine a world without blondes. Natural or fake, cool or hot, airhead or icon, saint or sinner: whichever of these two blonde stereotypes prevailed, both ultimately involve the saying that blondes have more fun. . . . But the blonde has sadly been devalued from goddess to good-time girl. We now feel blondes are easier to bed, which is a huge comedown from [Boticelli's] Venus rising out of the waves.

We'll only discover the crucial importance of blondes when Western civilization goes down the drain, as it seems to be doing. We must remember that blondism consists of more than fair hair, light eyes and a long head. There is internal temperament that makes it possible to create civilizations and keeps pushing people one step higher on the evolutionary ladder.

When the blond(e)s go, high civilization goes, as is happening all around us today. The race's only hope is that some geneticist will come along and develop a packet of blonde genes that can be injected in fetuses. Another thin possibility is that some European country which still

has a sizable amount of Nordic genes will develop a program to preserve instead of destroy them.

Germany. From a subscriber. Large numbers of American troops are still stationed on German soil more than 50 years after the end of WWII and ten years after the fall of the Wall. Only the Americans and British seem unable to find it in their vengeful hearts to conclude a treaty of peace with their former enemy. Not a single reporter who covered the anniversary celebration of the Wall's crumbling thought or dared to point out the obvious—that because the Soviet Union no longer exists, justification for the continued *Wacht am Rhein* has disappeared.

What motivates the certain members of the North Atlantic alliance to remain so many years in the heart of Europe? Could it be anti-German racism? Could it be America's historical inclination to imperialism? Could it be the baleful influence of Wall Street? Perhaps all the above, plus the fact that nearly every member of America's foreign policy establishment, not to mention its military, has at one time or another enjoyed some happy years in *Mitteleuropa* and have no wish to part with the victors' perks, particularly when life back home is becoming racially onerous. Perma-occupation of a white foreign nation, however, is by no means the answer to America's own social problems.

Sweden. Swedish women occupy 42.7% of the country's parliament. Other countries that have high percentages of females in legislatures are Denmark, Finland, Norway and Holland. Does this have anything to do with racial differences? Or racial political proclivities? The most paternal countries where there is no such thing as female legislators, are in Black Africa.

Italy. At an interfaith gathering held in the Basilica of St. Francis of Assisi, Catholic clergy openly expressed their concern over the increasing presence of Islam in Europe. Archbishop Giuseppe Bernardini said that he even suspects a concerted program, backed by petrodollars, is behind the building of mosques and cultural centers across Europe. He warned of a campaign of Muslim "reconquest."

A French cleric, Alain Besancon, told the bishops that the number of Muslims in his country was between 4 and 5 mil-

lion or about the number of practicing Catholics in France. He added that Christians in the burgeoning Islamic suburbs of Paris feel "menaced" in their own country.

Meanwhile the Vatican itself is criticizing Israel for granting Arabs the right to build a mosque next to a church in Nazareth. At the conclusion of the gathering, however, the Catholic clergy did not reproach Islam, but blamed the Catholic Church itself for the decline in Christianity.

Vatican. Popes have canonized 583 saints since the 16th century when the Church first started the practice. Two hundred ninety-six of these saints have been created by the present Pope, John Paul II.

Austria. James Rubin, one of the hive of Jews that buzz around the Clinton administration, has instructed the Austrian government what to say about the runner-up winner of the recent elections: "In our discussions with Mr. Haider, we have emphasized our strong opposition to any statements or policies which could be interpreted as sympathetic to the Nazi regime." In other words, Big Brother is casting a larger and larger shadow over Europe, particularly central Europe. The question is, why should Rubin have the chutzpah to instruct an extremely popular Austrian politician on foreign policy? This is the Monroe Doctrine in reverse.

Czechoslovakia. Gypsies, a favorite population group of the liberals, are as unwelcome in Europe today as they were when they first traipsed west from India. To protect his towns against the filth, noise, garbage and crime generated by Gypsies living there, the mayor of a Czech town had a 6-foot-high fence erected to separate the Gypsies from the Europeans. Local Czechs look upon the fence as a symbol of law and order. The Gypsies and their Western supporters call it the makings of a concentration camp. Most liberals everywhere (except those who live near the area) want the fence torn down, as do transnational organizations like the European Community.

Russia. From a subscriber. The real winner of the recent Russian elections was Boris Abramovich Berezhnevsky, the oligarch with banking connections and shady dealings in Switzerland, New York, Israel and other financial centers. As adviser, godfather and tipster to the Yeltsin family, he has kept power in the family's hands by supporting the rise of Vladimir Putin, a stale leftover from the KGB. The



grateful Putin has in turn granted an official pardon to the Yeltsins and their closest associates, thereby freeing them of any future unpleasantness. By buying a seat in the Duma, Berezovsky himself has now gained immunity against criminal charges from any quarter. With his billions of ill-gotten dollars, the Jewish Berezovsky is a candidate to become an honored member of the New World Order and the international Jewish Mafia. Through control of the mass media and the money supply, Russian Jews are very much in charge in Russia.

Alexander Lebed, once viewed as a potential leader to restore the crippled Russian state, seems to have been excluded from federal power by the oligarchs. Lebed was no friend of the Yeltsin family.

Without hard currency backing and media support, which Russian Jews and their kinsmen abroad control, native Russians have become supplicants to aliens in their own country. Lebed was so indiscreet as to suggest in an interview in *Le Figaro*, the influential French newspaper, that it was quite possible that both the bombings of Chechnya and the blastings of apartment buildings in Moscow and other Russian cities could have been part of a covert government attempt to destabilize the country and influence, or even cancel, the upcoming presidential elections. Both events have certainly worked to strengthen Putin's chances.

Lebed's contempt for the recent elections to the Duma and the political machinations preceding them has been expressed openly and often. In mid-August he was reported to have said, "I am not getting involved in that cockroach race." In September, Itar-Tass reported that Lebed, who chairs the People's Republican Party and the Honor and Motherland Movement, recommended that his political supporters not participate in the Duma elections. Lebed:

It would be shameful to run in such a farce. The self same people, of whom everyone is sick and tired and who for ten years have known what has to be done, but do not do it, will run again in the elections.

Not by coincidence, on November 17, the *Moskovski Komsomolets* newspaper reported that the Krasnoyarsk District Court will now reconsider a case against Lebed for alleged violation of campaign spending regulations in his successful bid

a year ago for governor of a territory. Since the newspaper is close to Moscow Mayor Yuri Luzhkov, another political enemy of the embattled governor, it must be assumed that Lebed is being pressured to play ball with the rest of the gang.

Israel. "Gallant Little Israel," considered by some highly placed elements, to be America's best strategic ally in the Near East, is now reported to be supplying satellite broadcasting services to Yugoslavia despite the U.S./UN imposed embargo. David Pollack, director of Spacecom, the company that operates Israel's Amos 1 satellite, said that he was deceived by his Yugoslav clients.

Jews like to claim that U.S. interests and Israel's coincide and that we should not be upset that a traitor like Jonathon Pollard gave top-secret information to a "friendly" country. What isn't mentioned is that Israel is not averse to selling sensitive information and military equipment to U.S. adversaries. The N.Y. Times reported in a front-page article (Nov. 11, 1999) that Israel was supplying China with advanced radar systems that could be used to facilitate an attack on Taiwan. When the Pentagon requested that Israel stop this merchant of death traffic, no one should be surprised that our "ally" refused. In recent years Israel has secretly sold billions of dollars of military equipment to China.


Nigeria. Richard Blythstone of CNN covered a New Year's Eve bash in Lagos

as part of the network's around-the-world reportage. In a crowd of several hundred there were no women. Funny how the likes of German Chancellor Schröder, and American feminists Bella Abzug and Gloria Steinem are absent where they are most needed, where the European concept of chivalry is as dead as the dodo. Blythstone reported that the crowd threw burning fireworks at the band while the police used pepper spray to calm and subdue the participants. At one point Blythstone could hardly speak as he coughed and choked from the tear gas.

South Africa. Former Communist terrorist Nelson Mandela, now the world-class elder statesman of the New World Order, has taken his first step to secular sainthood. He has been made a member of the Most Venerable Order of the Hospital of St. John of Jerusalem. The order is awarded to those who supposedly alleviate the suffering of all mankind and encourage its spiritual growth. Her Royal Highness Queen Elizabeth is its Sovereign Head. The Grand Prior is always a member of the Royal Family.

Since the transfer of power away from the whites in South Africa to Mandela and his cohorts, the country has become a cesspool of crime and corruption.

Argentina. An Argentine newspaper has published an interview with the 92-year-old widow of the late Oscar Schindler, who may be a hero to Spielberg, but a villain to his wife. Emilie Schindler claims he abandoned her, left her penniless and went off with another woman. At present Mrs. Schindler exists on a monthly stipend furnished by B'nai B'rith and a grant from the government of Argentina.



Negro "intellectuals" keep telling the world that Ancient Egypt was a black country. Take a good look at Kai Seated, a Fifth Dynasty (2465-2323 B.C.) bigshot. Is he black?

Register today for the Fourth American Renaissance Conference

March 31 - April 2, 2000, Reston, Virginia (near Washington, D.C.)

The West in the 21st Century

Conference Schedule:

The conference will begin on Friday, March 31st, with registration from 5:00 to 6:00 p.m. The *American Renaissance* staff will give opening remarks followed by a reception. There will be latecomer registration at 8:30 a.m. on Saturday, April 1st, and the program will begin at 9:00 a.m. There will be a banquet (separate charge of \$29) on Saturday evening. Participants with alternate dinner plans are welcome after the meal to hear the speaker. The program will resume at 9:00 a.m. on Sunday the 2nd and end at noon. Gentlemen will wear jackets and ties.

Accommodations and Transportation:

The conference will be at the three-star Sheraton Reston Hotel near Dulles Airport outside Washington, D.C. Please make your own reservations for the nights of March 31st and April 1st by calling 800-392-7666. Be sure to ask for the special "*American Renaissance*" rate of \$75 a night. **There is no extra charge for double occupancy**, so a spouse or friend can stay free. The hotel offers shuttle service from Dulles Airport. You may call from the courtesy telephone at the baggage claim. There is free parking for those who are driving. Please call the reservations number, 800-392-7666, for directions. **Discounted air tickets** are available from **Up and Away Travel** at 800-373-5261. Just ask for the "*American Renaissance*" discount.

Speakers will include:

Bruno Gollnisch — "**The Nationalist Movement in France.**" Dr. Gollnisch is the second-ranking officer of the French National Front. He is Jean-Marie Le Pen's right-hand man and is in charge of ideology, publicity, and political strategy.

J. Philippe Rushton — "**Latest Research on Race.**" Prof. Rushton is the world's leading theorist on the nature and significance of racial differences. He teaches psychology at the University of Western Ontario. His most recent book is *Race, Evolution and Behavior*.

Samuel Francis — "**Race and the American Right.**" Dr. Francis is a syndicated columnist, whose latest book is *Revolution From the Middle*. Dr. Francis was fired as staff columnist at the Washington Times for remarks made at an *American Renaissance* conference in 1994.

Jared Taylor — "**Prospects for the New Century.**" Mr. Taylor is editor of *American Renaissance*. He is the author of *Paved With Good Intentions* and editor of a collection of essays on race called *The Real American Dilemma*.

Roger McGrath — "**The Reconquista of California.**" Prof. McGrath taught history at UCLA for 15 years and is now at California State University, Channel Islands. He is the author of *Gunfighters, Highwaymen, and Vigilantes*.

Frank Ellis - "**The McPherson Report: Racial Hysteria in Britain.**" Dr. Ellis is professor of Russian and Slavonic Studies at the University of Leeds in England.

Robert Weissberg — "**The Relationship Between Blacks and Jews.**" Prof. Weissberg teaches political science at the University of Illinois. He is author of *Political Tolerance and The Politics of Empowerment*.

Richard Lynn — "**Race: European Developments.**" Prof. Lynn is director of the Ulster Institute for Social Research and is author of *Dysgenics: Genetic Deterioration in Modern Populations*.

Others who will address the conference include **Gordon Baum**, CEO of the Council of Conservative Citizens; **Frank Borzellieri**, New York City school board member and author of *The Unspoken Truth*; and Atlanta attorney **Sam Dickson**.

Registration Fee:

\$100. Please send payment by March 20th.



Participant(s): _____ Telephone: (____) _____

Address: _____

Please register _____ participant(s) @ \$100 each \$ _____

Please reserve _____ place(s) for the banquet @ \$29 each \$ _____

Please make check payable to *American Renaissance*, P.O. Box 527, Oakton, VA 22124 Total: \$ _____

I (we) will _____ will not _____ stay at the Sheraton.

Please call *American Renaissance* at (703) 716-0900 if you have questions.